

ATTACHMENT 6



Inter-Com

DATE: NOVEMBER 21, 2013

TO: OFFICER MATTHEW ADLING #1208 

FROM: SERGEANT ANDREW BIROZY #914

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATION INTERROGATION

An Administrative Investigation is currently being conducted into the events which occurred between November 18th – November 20th, 2013. The investigation is a result of your handling of prisoner property and potentially untruthful statements made to a Tustin Police Department Supervisor.

You are to report to Professional Standards on Wednesday, December 4, 2013 at 0900 hours to answer questions relative to this Administrative Investigation. Sergeant Birozy will be the officer in charge of the interrogation. The following officers will also be present during the interrogation: Lt. John Strain or Lt. Paul Garaven. All questions during the interrogation shall be asked by and through no more than two interrogators at one time.

The complete interrogation will be recorded. You will have access to the tape if any further proceedings are contemplated or prior to any further interrogation at a subsequent time. You have the right to bring your own recording device and record any and all aspects of the interrogation. You will be entitled to all reports and/or complaints made by investigators or other persons, except those which are deemed by the Department to be confidential. No notes or reports which are deemed to be confidential by the Department will be entered into your personnel file.

You have the right to be represented by a representative of your choice who may be present at all times during the interrogation. This representative shall not be a person subject to the same investigation. Your representative shall not be required to disclose, nor be subject to any punitive action for refusing to disclose, any information received from you while under investigation in non-criminal matters.

The employer shall not cause the public safety officer under interrogation to be subjected to visits by the press, (nor will your home address or photograph be given to the press or news media) without your expressed consent.

If prior to or during the interrogation it is deemed that you may be charged with a criminal offense, you shall be immediately informed of your constitutional rights. You are advised that your rights are fully outlined in the Public Safety Officers Procedural Bill of Rights Act, Government Code Sections 3300 – 3311.



Administrative Investigation Interrogation

Date

Page 2 of 2

If you have any questions prior to the interview, please do not hesitate to contact
Sergeant Birozy at 714-573-3285

120013-003



Inter-Com

DATE: DECEMBER 10, 2013

TO: CHARLES F. CELANO, INTERIM CHIEF OF POLICE

FROM: ANDREW BIROZY, PROFESSIONAL STANDARDS DIVISION SUPERVISOR

SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATION (13-221) – OFFICER ADLING

C. CELANO
12-19-13

SUMMARY

On 11/18/13, Officer Adling assisted Orange County Social Services in removing a child from a residence due to unsatisfactory living conditions and syringes being found inside. While assisting Social Services, a male subject (Charles Bennett) inside the residence was arrested for warrants. The subject was transported to the Orange County Jail where unused syringes were found on his person by OCSD booking deputies. Officer Adling took the syringes back to the residence and dropped them off.

On 11/19/13, Officer Adling and Sgt. Quinn were in the men's locker room when Officer Adling told Sgt. Quinn he returned to the residence after he booked Bennett to return Bennett's cell phone and wallet.

On 11/20/13, Sgt. Quinn and Sgt. Whiteley returned to [REDACTED] house to do an audit of the call from 11/18/13. [REDACTED] told Sgt. Quinn Officer Adling returned to her residence after booking Bennett. When Adling returned, he gave [REDACTED] a baggie filled with unused syringes and left the residence. She said Officer Adling did not return a wallet and cell phone.

Officer Adling was interviewed and admitted he was untruthful with Sgt. Quinn in the locker room when he mentioned he returned Bennett's cell phone and wallet.

GENERAL ORDERS APPLICATIONS

Violation of Tustin Police Department General Orders, Section 340 – Disciplinary Policy:

340.3.5 PERFORMANCE

“(dd.) Giving false or misleading statements, or misrepresenting or omitting material information to a supervisor, or other person in a position of authority, in connection with any investigation or in the reporting of any Department-related business.”

Violation of Tustin Police Department General Orders, Section 340 Disciplinary Policy:

"340.3.5 PERFORMANCE

c) Unsatisfactory work performance including but not limited to, failure, incompetence, inefficiency or delay in performing and/or carrying out proper orders, work assignments or instructions of supervisors without a reasonable and bona fide excuse."

"m) Any knowing or negligent violation of the provisions of the Department manual, operating procedures or other written directive of an authorized supervisor. The Department shall make this manual available to all employees. Employees shall familiarize themselves with and be responsible for compliance with each of the policies contained herein."

As it pertains to:

903.2 SEARCHING PRISONERS / DETAINEES

"Officers who arrest or detain individuals must control the situation with the tools provided within the law. Officers must stay well versed on the current status of the search of persons and act within the law.

- a) Prior to detaining or transporting an individual in a police vehicle, officers shall conduct an appropriate search of that individual for contraband or weapons (Policy Manual 902.3 Pat-Down Search);
- b) Each time a prisoner comes into the transporting officer's custody, including transfers from one patrol unit to another, the receiving transport officer must search the prisoner."

Violation of Tustin Police Department General Orders, Section 340 Disciplinary Policy:

"340.3.5 PERFORMANCE

c) Unsatisfactory work performance including but not limited to, failure, incompetence, inefficiency or delay in performing and/or carrying out proper orders, work assignments or instructions of supervisors without a reasonable and bona fide excuse."

"m) Any knowing or negligent violation of the provisions of the Department manual, operating procedures or other written directive of an authorized supervisor. The Department shall make this manual available to all employees. Employees shall familiarize themselves with and be responsible for compliance with each of the policies contained herein."

As is pertains to:

"804.3 PROPERTY HANDLING

Any employee who first comes into possession of any property, shall retain such property in his/her possession until it is properly tagged and placed in the designated property booking locker (outside of Property and Evidence Unit and available 24 hours a day); or other approved storage area along with the property form. Care shall be taken to maintain the chain of custody for all evidence. Ownership of all property/evidence should be established by the handling officer whenever possible to ensure the proper return of said items upon the disposition of the case.

Where ownership can be established as to found property with no apparent evidentiary value, such property may be released to the owner without the need for booking. The property form must be completed to document the release of property not booked and the owner shall sign the form acknowledging receipt of the item(s)."

INVOLVED PARTIES

Officer Matt Adling #1208

Squad 4
North Area Command
Days off: Thursday – Sunday
Supervisor: Sergeant Sean Quinn

Sergeant Sean Quinn #1021

Squad 4
North Area Command
Days off: Thursday – Sunday
Supervisor: Interim Lieutenant Todd Bullock

Officer Gentry Mayfield #989

Squad 4
North Area Command
Days off: Thursday – Sunday
Supervisor: Sergeant Sean Quinn

Officer Charles Mitchell #1096

Squad 4
North Area Command
Days off: Thursday – Sunday
Supervisor: Sergeant Sean Quinn

Administrative Investigation 13-221
December 10, 2013
Page 4 of 19

Officer Tim Crouch #1225
Squad 4
South Area Command
Days off: Thursday – Sunday
Supervisor: Sergeant Sean Whiteley

Officer Shonn Rojas #1019
Squad 4
South Area Command
Days off: Thursday – Sunday
Supervisor: Sergeant Sean Whiteley

Officer Glenn Hollingshead #731
Squad 4
South Area Command
Days off: Thursday – Sunday
Supervisor: Sergeant Sean Whiteley

Officer Royce DelMerari #1227
Squad 3
South Area Command
Days off: Sunday – Wednesday
Supervisor: Sergeant Jeff Taylor



Charles Bennett
1422 S. Douglas St.
Santa Ana, CA 92704
714-979-8482

ATTACHMENTS

1. Memorandum from Sgt. Quinn to Sgt. Bullock
2. TPD CR#13-6603
3. TPD CAD print-out of call for service

4. Two interviews with Bennett (one in person and one via telephone)
5. Two interviews with [REDACTED] (both via telephone)
6. Administrative Investigation Interrogation Memorandum
7. Relieved of standard duty admonition and receipt
8. OCJ video surveillance
9. Interviews with Sgt. Quinn, Officers Mayfield, Mitchell, Rojas, Hollingshead, Gleason, Crouch and [REDACTED]
10. Interview with Officer Adling
11. Interview with Officer DelMerari

INVESTIGATION

On 11/21/13, at approximately 0800 hours, I was assigned this investigation. In preparation, I reviewed Sgt. Quinn's memorandum addressed to Sgt. Bullock (attachment 1), the police report authored by Officer Adling (attachment 2), and the TPD CAD print-out of this incident (attachment 3).

On 11/21/13, at approximately 1045 hours, Lt. Garaven and I went to [REDACTED] residence to interview her. There was no answer at the door, so I left a business card on the front door asking her to call me.

On 11/21/13, at approximately 1127 hours, Lt. Garaven and I went to Bennett's residence to interview him. The interview was recorded on my digital recorder and I attached a CD of the interview to this report (attachment 4). The following is a synopsis of the interview:

Bennett told me when he was arrested at [REDACTED] residence, the only property he had on him was hypodermic syringes. He said the officer who arrested him never searched him and when he was taken into the Orange County Jail, he gave the seven new syringes he had in his left front pocket to the Orange County Sheriff's Deputy. I asked Bennett what the deputy did with the syringes and he said he did not know.

I asked Bennett if the officer took his wallet and cell phone with him when he was arrested. He said the only items he had on him when he left the residence were the syringes. Bennett said he doesn't own a wallet and the cell phone he had, he sold on 11/18/13, prior to getting arrested.

On 11/21/13 at approximately 1313 hours, I called Bennett to ask him a few more questions related to this incident. I recorded the interview on my digital recorder and attached a CD of the interview to this report (attachment 4). The following is a synopsis of the interview:

I asked Bennett what time he sold his phone on 11/18/13, and he said at approximately 1600 hours. I asked him who he sold the phone to and he said he sold it to someone on the streets for \$20.00. I asked Bennett what type of phone it was and he said he believed it was a Samsung smartphone. Bennett confirmed he does not have a wallet and did not have one the night he was arrested.

On 11/21/13, at approximately 1550 hours, I received a phone call from [REDACTED]. I recorded the interview on my digital recorder and attached a CD of the interview to this report (attachment 5). The following is a synopsis of the interview:

[REDACTED] told me Officer Adling came back to her house within a few hours after arresting Bennett. She said he gave her a bag of unused syringes. Officer Adling said, "Property drop-off, good luck to you ma'am."

[REDACTED] did not feel comfortable with Officer Adling leaving the syringes at the residence because this was partially why her daughter was taken by Social Services.

I asked [REDACTED] when Officer Adling returned the syringes, if he returned a cell phone and wallet. She said he didn't. [REDACTED] first told me Bennett had a cell phone and wallet on him when he was arrested. She then said she doesn't know for sure if he did. She said he usually has them on him so she assumed he did when he was arrested. I asked her if Bennett would have been lying to me if he said he didn't have a cell phone and wallet on him when he was arrested. She said Bennett would not lie about that and if he said he didn't, then he didn't.

On 11/21/13, at approximately 1615 hours, I called [REDACTED] for a follow-up telephone interview. I recorded the interview on my digital recorder and attached a CD of the interview to this report (attachment 5). The following is a synopsis of the interview:

I asked [REDACTED] what type of wallet she has seen Bennett with. She said it's a dark brown or black "flip open" wallet. She said she hasn't seen the wallet since the first of November.

On 11/21/13, at approximately 1630 hours, I called Officer Adling on his cellular phone and ordered him to respond to TPD. He arrived shortly thereafter. Once at TPD, I served Officer Adling with an Administrative Investigation Interrogation memorandum (attachment 6) indicating his interview would be conducted on 12/04/13 at 0900 hours. I also placed Officer Adling on administrative leave and had him sign a TPD Relieved of Standard Duty Admonition and Receipt form (attachment 7).

I later received a call from Officer Adling's attorney, Howard Liberman requesting the interview be moved to 1300 hours on the same day. Therefore, the time was changed.

On 11/26/13, at approximately 1045 hours, I went to the internal affairs bureau at the Orange County Sheriff's Department and spoke to Sgt. Thompson. Sgt. Thompson provided me a CD of the surveillance video from the night Officer Adling booked in Bennett (attachment 8). The video depicts Orange County Sheriff's Deputies searching Bennett and at some point, they pull something out of his left front pocket. It appears the deputies give Officer Adling a plastic bag, who then walks in and out of camera view.

On 11/26/13, at approximately 1901 hours, I interviewed Sgt. Quinn. The interview was recorded on my digital recorder. I attached a CD of the interview to this report (attachment 9). The following is a synopsis of the interview:

Sgt. Quinn said he was at 15582 Williams #4 on 11/18/13 with Officer Adling in reference to a call for service. He said Senior Social Worker [REDACTED] from Orange County Social Services was also present.

I asked Sgt. Quinn if he saw Officer Adling arrest Bennett. He said he did. I asked him if he saw Officer Adling search Bennett and he said he didn't. He said after Bennett was placed in handcuffs, Officer Adling walked Bennett to his police car.

I asked Sgt. Quinn if he saw Bennett in possession of a cell phone or wallet when he was arrested. He said he did not see anything removed from Bennett's pockets. He also said he did not hear Bennett making any reference to having a cell phone or wallet.

I asked Sgt. Quinn if he was in the locker room on 11/19/13 at approximately 1745 hours. He said he was. I told him the memorandum he wrote on 11/21/13 indicates the time this conversation with Officer Adling occurred in the locker room was at 1545 hours. He said that was a typo and it should have read 1745 hours.

I asked Sgt. Quinn what Officer Adling said to him in the locker room. He said Officer Adling told him he had to respond back to the Williams St. address to return Bennett's cell phone and wallet to [REDACTED]. Sgt. Quinn asked him why and Officer Adling said something to the effect of it was easier than booking it. I asked Sgt. Quinn if handling prisoner property that way was normal TPD protocol and he said it wasn't.

I asked Sgt. Quinn how sure he is that Officer Adling said he returned a cell phone and wallet to [REDACTED]. He said, "100 percent. I'm sure that is what he

said." I asked Sgt. Quinn if he remembers who else was in the locker room at the time this conversation occurred. He said he didn't remember, although it's not unusual to have four to six night shift officers changing at that time.

I asked Sgt. Quinn if he and Sgt. Whiteley went to speak to [REDACTED] on 11/20/13 at approximately 2100 hours. He said they did. I asked him what [REDACTED] told him and he said [REDACTED] indicated Officer Adling walked up toward her front patio where she was standing and handed her a baggie of six syringes. Officer Adling told [REDACTED] "Property drop-off, good luck to you" and walked away. Sgt. Quinn collected the syringes and booked them into TPD as evidence.

I asked Sgt. Quinn if he asked [REDACTED] if Officer Adling gave her a cell phone and wallet. He said he did and [REDACTED] said Officer Adling did not give her a cell phone and wallet.

I asked Sgt. Quinn if [REDACTED] said anything about the syringes being returned to her residence. He said [REDACTED] felt it was unprofessional for a police officer to give her syringes which is the reason her child was removed from the residence earlier in the evening. [REDACTED] said she was in disbelief an officer would bring these items to her.

Sgt. Quinn told me when Officer Adling turned in his report for approval (Thursday, November 21, 2013) the only prisoner property report attached was the Orange County Jail property report which indicated Bennett had no property with him when he was booked. Sgt. Quinn said he asked Officer Adling where the TPD prisoner property form was. Officer Adling told Sgt. Quinn since Bennett was never removed from the police car in the sally port, he did not feel he had to complete the form. Sgt. Quinn said he told Officer Adling to complete the form which he felt was giving Officer Adling a second chance to correct his mistake. When Officer Adling gave Sgt. Quinn the TPD property form, there was no property listed on the form.

I reviewed the area command roster for Tuesday, November 19, 2013. I noticed the following male sworn personnel were working nights beginning at 1800 hours:

| | |
|----------------------|------------------|
| Sgt. Whiteley | Officer Mayfield |
| Officer Mitchell | Officer Adling |
| Officer Hollingshead | Officer Rojas |
| Officer Crouch | Officer Kent |
| Officer Barraza | Officer Alfonso |
| Officer Fitzpatrick | |

I determined Sgt. Whiteley adjusted his hours that day and was not in the locker room changing prior to briefing at 1800 hours. Officers Kent and Barraza started their shift

off-site at 1700 hours due to K9 training and therefore were not in the locker room prior to briefing. Officers Alfonso and Fitzpatrick arrive to work at approximately 1700 hours and are out of the locker room by 1715 hours, therefore they were not in the locker room prior to briefing.

I interviewed Officers Mayfield, Mitchell, Hollingshead, Rojas and Crouch to see if they were in the locker room when Officer Adling and Sgt. Quinn had their conversation relating to the property being returned at 15582 Williams. All of the officers said they are generally in the men's locker room around 1745 hours. They all indicated they did not specifically remember who was in the locker room at the date and time in question, and do not remember Sgt. Quinn and Officer Adling having a conversation related to property being dropped off at 15582 Williams.

All of the interviews were recorded on my digital recorder and all interviews were copied onto one CD (attachment 9).

On 12/02/13, I had a telephone conversation with Sgt. Coe. He told me he recently spoke to Officer Stroud who said Officer S. Wright heard Officer Adling possibly spoke to a TPD officer about this investigation. Officer Adling was saying TPD violated his AB301 rights and he was likely coming back to work soon.

On 12/03/13, I spoke to Officer S. Wright in person while we were both at a CPOA Legal Update class in Corona. Officer S. Wright told me Officer Gleason said he spoke to Officer Adling and Officer Adling said TPD violated his rights and he was coming back to work soon. Officer S. Wright did not know if Officer Adling specifically spoke to Officer Gleason about the details of the investigation.

On 12/03/13, at approximately 1623 hours, I called Officer Gleason and interviewed him reference this incident. I digitally recorded the interview and attached a CD of the interview to this report (attachment 9). The following is a synopsis of the interview:

I asked Officer Gleason if he spoke to Officer Adling after he was placed on administrative leave and he said he did. He said he spoke to him once and didn't remember the exact date other than it was last week. I asked him what Officer Adling told him about the investigation. He said Officer Adling provided him with a hypothetical situation involving a friend who was involved in a similar situation. Officer Gleason said he put, "two and two together" figuring it was the situation Officer Adling's he was involved in.

I asked Officer Gleason what hypothetical situation Officer Adling told him. Officer Adling told him he had a friend at Pomona PD who booked an arrestee into the jail who had medical issues and while he was at the jail, contraband was found on his person. Officer Adling said his friend got in trouble because the

contraband wasn't found prior to the subject being booked into the jail. I asked him if Officer Adling said what the contraband was and he said needles.

I asked Officer Gleason why Officer Adling began talking about this hypothetical situation. Officer Gleason believes it is when he asked Officer Adling if he was going to be okay and if the investigation was serious. Officer Adling told Officer Gleason he would be back to work soon. I asked him if Officer Adling said his AB301 rights were violated and Officer Adling said his lawyer told him they were.

I asked Officer Gleason if Officer Adling told him anything related to untruthfulness in his hypothetical. He said he doesn't recall Officer Adling saying anything like that. I asked Officer Gleason if Officer Adling made mention about a statement he made to a supervisor. Officer Gleason said Officer Adling told him after Bennett was booked into OCJ, a supervisor asked him if everything went smooth and Officer Adling said it did. I asked Officer Gleason what Officer Adling said he did with the needles. Officer Adling said he dropped them back off with a family member or residence where they were taken from. I asked Officer Gleason if Officer Adling was still talking about his hypothetical situation or if Officer Adling was telling him what the administrative investigation involved. Officer Gleason said this was all part of Officer Adling's hypothetical and afterward he put, "two and two together" to surmise Officer Adling was talking about his own investigation. I asked him if Officer Adling ever said the hypothetical story was actually his own investigation and he said he didn't.

I asked Officer Gleason if anyone else was around when they had this conversation and he said no. I asked him if he knows of anyone else Officer Adling has talked to about this investigation and he said he didn't.

On 12/03/13, at approximately 1821 hours, I interviewed SSW [REDACTED] over the telephone. I recorded the interview with my digital recorder and copied the interview onto a CD (attachment 9). The following is a synopsis of the interview:

SSW [REDACTED] told me she requested TPD assist her in serving a warrant to remove a child on 12/18/13 at approximately 2130 hours. She said Officer Adling and Sgt. Quinn assisted her. I asked her if she observed Bennett get arrested and she said she did. I asked her if the officer who placed him in handcuffs searched him and she said she did not remember.

I asked SSW [REDACTED] if one of the reasons the child was removed from the residence was due to needles being in found in the residence earlier in the day and she said it was.

I asked SSW [REDACTED] if she remembers Bennett having any property on him and she said she didn't. I asked her if she remembers Bennett mentioning having a wallet and cell phone with him while in the residence and she said she didn't.

On 12/04/13, at approximately 1311 hours, Lt. Strain and I interviewed Officer Adling in the Chief's Conference Room. Officer Adling chose to have Howard Liberman represent him in the interrogation. I digitally recorded the interrogation and attached the CD to this report (attachment 10). In addition, I read Officer Adling the applicable AB 301 advisements verbatim from the Tustin Police Department Administrative Investigations Manual. Officer Adling said he understood all of the admonishments. The following is a synopsis of the interview:

Officer Adling indicated he had not reviewed the police report he wrote regarding this incident under investigation (CR# 13-6603), and he and his attorney requested time to read the report. We went off tape at approximately 1313 hours while the two reviewed Officer Adling's report.

The interview began again at 1320 hours where Officer Adling indicated he had a chance to review his police report. Officer Adling said he was working graveyards in patrol on 11/18/13 at approximately 2131 hours, and responded to 15582 Williams #4 to assist Orange County Social Services (Senior Social Worker [REDACTED] in serving a warrant to remove a child from the residence. Officer Adling said he responded to this address earlier in the evening (after briefing) with Officer Mitchell, however, nobody was home.

I asked Officer Adling why the child was being removed and he said due to the conditions of the residence and possible drugs and needles in the residence.

Officer Adling told me Sgt. Quinn and SSW [REDACTED] accompanied him to the residence to take the child for the warrant. He said the warrant for the child was served and he arrested a male subject in the residence (Charles Bennett) for warrants.

Officer Adling told me when he was in the apartment, he did a pat-down search of Bennett for weapons and then sat him on a couch. Once Officer Adling learned Bennett had a warrant for his arrest, he handcuffed him. I asked him if he found anything when patting down Bennett and he said he didn't. I asked Officer Adling if he searched Bennett incident to the arrest and he said he didn't. I asked him why he didn't and he indicated he made a mistake. I asked Officer Adling if he searched any of Bennett's belongings and he said he did not believe Bennett had belongings at the residence.

Officer Adling told me after placing Bennett in his police car, he transported him to TPD. He drove into the sally port and obtained the warrants and paperwork

and went to OCJ. I asked Officer Adling if he completed a TPD prisoner property form that evening and he said he didn't. I asked him why and he said he thought it was common practice not to if an officer is driving through the sally port to pick up paperwork only. He also said in the past he has been given different direction as far as when the form is needed. He was previously told the TPD prisoner property/medical form is not needed if the arrest is for a warrant only.

I asked Officer Adling if he searched Bennett when he arrived at the Orange County Jail. He said he didn't. He said after allowing him a phone call, he walked him inside where he was medically triaged and searched by OCJ Deputies.

I asked Officer Adling if the deputies found anything when they searched Bennett and he said they found approximately five or six hypodermic syringes in Bennett's left front pocket. He said the syringes were capped and unused. I asked him what the deputies did with the syringes and he said they gave them back to him.

I asked Officer Adling what he did with the syringes. He said he asked OCJ staff if Bennett could keep the needles in his property, and he was told the jail would not allow him to keep them in his property. He said he left the jail and went directly to the Williams St. address to give ██████████ the needles.

I asked Officer Adling why he didn't request charges for the needles instead of dropping them off with ██████████ He said Bennett was a diabetic and the needles were not illegal to possess.

Lt. Strain asked Officer Adling when he found out Bennett was a diabetic and he said at the apartment prior to arresting Bennett. He asked him when he completed the TPD prisoner property form and Officer Adling said he completed the form on Thursday, November 21, 2013, at approximately 0400 hours. I asked him if he filled out the form because Sgt. Quinn told him to when he turned in the report for review. He said that was correct and he turned in another separate warrant arrest report at the same time with the same circumstances in which Sgt. Quinn advised him to complete the TPD prisoner property report.

I asked Officer Adling if he notified TPD dispatch he was returning to ██████████ residence and he said he did via his police radio. In reviewing the CAD print-out of the call, an entry was made on 11/18/13 at approximately 2346 hours by the radio dispatcher confirming Officer Adling notified dispatch via radio that he was back at the residence for a property drop off.

I asked Officer Adling why he dropped off the needles with ██████████ instead of booking them at TPD. He said ██████████ and Bennett both said ██████████

occasionally holds his needles for him in her purse. He said looking back it at now, it wasn't the best idea. He said once he was served with the administrative investigation notice, he realized it wasn't the smartest choice to make.

I asked Officer Adling what he believes TPD policy says regarding prisoner property. He said the property needs to be booked at OCJ, booked into TPD as safekeeping, or if the prisoner requests it, the property can be released to a family member or friend. I asked Officer Adling if he asked Bennett for permission to drop off the needles with [REDACTED] and he said he didn't.

I asked Officer Adling if he wrote anything in his police report about the deputies finding the syringes. He said he didn't because it was not contraband or an illegal substance. He said he felt it was property he could release to someone. I asked Officer Adling if he wrote anything in his police report about dropping off the syringes with [REDACTED]. He said he didn't because the items were not contraband or an illegal substance. Officer Adling said thinking about it now, he realizes it wasn't, "the brightest idea in the world".

I asked Officer Adling if he was scheduled to work on Tuesday, November 19, 2013, from 1800 – 0630 hours. He said he was. I asked him if he was in the locker room preparing for his upcoming shift at approximately 1745 hours. He said he was. I asked him who was in the locker room changing at the time. He said he is sure Sgt. Quinn was and he didn't remember who else was. He said possibly Officers Mitchell, Rojas, Mayfield and Crouch. I asked him if he is sure Sgt. Quinn was in the locker room and he said he was 90% sure.

I asked Officer Adling if he told Sgt. Quinn while they were both in the locker room changing on Tuesday, November 19, 2013, at approximately 1745 hours, that he (Officer Adling) returned Bennett's property to [REDACTED] residence the night before. He said he doesn't recall, however, he doesn't think he did. I asked him if he told Sgt. Quinn he returned a cell phone and wallet to [REDACTED] the night before (while listening to the tape, I realized I accidentally said Bennett instead of [REDACTED] when asking this question). Officer Adling appeared confused. He said Bennett's cell phone and wallet were removed from Bennett while at [REDACTED] residence and given to [REDACTED] then. He then said he didn't recall if Bennett had a cell phone or wallet.

I asked Officer Adling when he saw a cell phone at [REDACTED] residence on Monday, November 18, 2013. He said he didn't remember. I asked him the same question regarding a wallet and he said he didn't remember. I asked him if he specifically remembers there being a cell phone and wallet at the residence. He said he did. I asked him who the wallet belonged to and he said Bennett. I asked him who the cell phone belonged to and he said he believes it was Bennett's because the wallet and cell phone were together. I asked him if it was

on Bennett's person. He said he didn't recall. I asked him if it was next to him and he said it was close to him (lap or in the near vicinity).

I asked Officer Adling if Bennett said the wallet and cell phone belonged to him. He said he thinks he took out Bennett's identification from the wallet and used it to run his name for warrants, however, he was not exactly sure.

I once again asked Officer Adling while in the locker room on Tuesday, November 19, 2013, at approximately 1745 hours, if he told Sgt. Quinn he returned the cell phone and wallet to [REDACTED]. He paused and then said "Yeah". While reading directly from the memorandum authored by Sgt. Quinn (attachment 1), I asked Officer Adling if he told Sgt. Quinn that he responded back to [REDACTED] apartment after booking Bennett to return his cell phone and wallet to [REDACTED]. At this point, Liberman requested a break and therefore we went off tape at 1345 hours.

The interview resumed at 1348 hours. I re-asked Officer Adling the same question I asked just before the break. He said he didn't remember, however he remembers having the cell phone and wallet and giving them back to [REDACTED]. I asked him if he specifically remembers having a cell phone and wallet and handing it back to [REDACTED]. He said "Yes."

I asked Officer Adling if it was possible he told Sgt. Quinn in the locker room he responded back to [REDACTED] residence after booking Bennett to return his cell phone and wallet to [REDACTED] and he said it was possible. I asked if it would surprise him if the other people in the locker room heard it and he said it wouldn't surprise him. I asked him if he remembers hearing Sgt. Quinn asking him why he returned the cell phone and wallet to [REDACTED] and he said he doesn't remember; however, he thinks that would be a normal follow-up question. Officer Adling did say he remembered having a conversation with Sgt. Quinn in the locker room. He said he remembered Sgt. Quinn asking how the booking process went. I asked him if he remembered responding back to Sgt. Quinn's question about why he would return the cell phone and wallet to [REDACTED] by saying, "It was easier than booking it into Orange County Jail." He said he doesn't remember, however, it was possible.

I asked Officer Adling if he searched or looked through Bennett's property while at the residence and he said he didn't. He said he remembered seeing a cell phone and wallet near Bennett, and he was pretty sure he used Bennett's identification to run him for warrants. Officer Adling appeared to become confused about what information he used to run Bennett for warrants. He said he might have written Bennett's information on his hand. Officer Adling said if he could look at the call log from the incident, it would help him remember. I showed him a copy of the call log and he said he ran Bennett for warrants by

name and date of birth. I asked him if he remembers specifically seeing Bennett's identification in the wallet and he said he didn't. I told him earlier he said he saw Bennett's identification. He said, "I thought I did because he did have a wallet. I remember a cell phone and a wallet." He said if he had Bennett's CDL, he would have run it by number because it's easier for dispatch.

I asked Officer Adling if he took Bennett's cell phone and wallet from the residence. He said he didn't remember but it was possible. I asked him where he would have taken the items. He said he would have taken it to OCJ and booked it in with Bennett's property. I asked him if he did that and he said he doesn't think he did. Officer Adling referred to his police report and confirmed a wallet and cell phone was not booked into OCJ with Bennett.

I asked Officer Adling if he did take the wallet and cell phone from the residence, would he have taken them with him or would he have returned them to [REDACTED] before he left the call. He said it would have been before he left the call. He said he could have taken the wallet and cell phone with him when he brought Bennett to his police car, however, he would have walked right back to the residence and returned them to [REDACTED].

I asked Officer Adling if he knows why Sgt. Quinn would say he told him he returned the wallet and cell phone to [REDACTED] after booking Bennett into OCJ and he said, "I don't." He also said he didn't know why he would have told Sgt. Quinn that, other than he remembers giving [REDACTED] the wallet and cell phone the night of the incident (before leaving the call).

I told Officer Adling that Bennett said he did not have a cell phone and wallet while at the residence and asked him if he was sure Bennett had those items. He said, "Somebody had a cell phone and a wallet. I don't know if it was his or not. I know a cell phone and wallet that was in the residence." I asked Officer Adling if he remembered what the wallet looked like and he said he didn't.

I asked Officer Adling if he was intentionally being untruthful to Sgt. Quinn by telling him he (Officer Adling) returned Bennett's cell phone and wallet after booking Bennett into OCJ. He said he wasn't. He said he didn't intentionally omit the needles.

The following questions were asked and they will be quoted:

Sgt. Birozy: "Ok. So in the locker room when you and Sgt. Quinn were having this conversation, did you intentionally tell him that you returned the cell phone and the wallet back to [REDACTED] to explain why you went back to the residence after booking Mr. Bennett in Orange County Jail?"

Mr. Liberman: "Do you understand the question?"

Officer Adling: "Can you ask again?"

Sgt. Birozy: "Absolutely. What I am trying to find out Matt is this. Sgt. Quinn said, and like I said, you can see that it's possible that you did say this and the quote was 'did you respond back to [REDACTED] apartment after booking Bennett to return his cell phone and wallet to [REDACTED] OK. Did you tell Sgt. Quinn that, that you returned the wallet and cell phone, OK, knowing that you did not return a wallet and cell phone after you booked [REDACTED] because you were afraid that Sgt. Quinn would find out that you went back to the residence to return the needles when you probably shouldn't have done that because you missed the needles. Is that true?"

Officer Adling: "Thinking about it now, I don't remember, but now that, you know I'm reflecting on it, it's possible. Yes."

Sgt. Birozy: "Ok. Yes you did or yes...."

Officer Adling: "It's possible that I did."

Sgt. Birozy: "I don't want to get to this possible stuff Matt."

Officer Adling: "Yeah."

Sgt. Birozy: "Did you tell Sgt. Quinn that you returned the cell phone and wallet because you were afraid that, hey look, you know I'm probably get in trouble because I didn't search this guy. These needles went in there and shoot, I brought them back, he's going to find out."

Officer Adling looked down and paused

Sgt. Birozy: "Please be honest with me."

Officer Adling: "I'm..I'm...I'm...I know what you are saying. I know what you are asking and yes."

Sgt. Birozy: "Ok. Why did you?"

Officer Adling: "Like you said, it's with what's been happening to me in recent past and what going on, it's, I felt that it was property and I should have said, yeah it was needles. There was no cell phone or wallet when I returned back to the residence because that cell phone and wallet was [REDACTED] or whoever's already there. I didn't want to take the mis-searching (inaudible)."

At this point Liberman requested a break. We resumed at 1423 hours. Prior to continuing the interview, Liberman made a statement to preserve the record about there being a possible 3300 Govt. Code violation based on the conversation Sgt. Quinn and Officer Adling had in the locker room. I then continued the questioning.

I asked Officer Adling if he had time to think about what he said to Sgt. Quinn. He said he doesn't remember the exact verbiage, but he did say he returned a wallet and cell phone. I asked him if he told Sgt. Quinn he returned the property after he booked Bennett into OCJ. He said he doesn't recall, however thinking about it today, yes, that is what he told him.

Lt. Strain asked Officer Adling if he told Sgt. Quinn that he returned a cell phone and wallet after booking Bennett, knowing he only returned needles, not the other property he eluded to. He said he didn't recall exactly because it was three weeks ago, however, thinking about it today, yes, that is what he said.

Lt. Strain confirmed with Officer Adling that he was untruthful with Sgt. Quinn because of potential discipline, and then asked if he had been disciplined in the past for mishandling prisoner property. Officer Adling said, "Not that I remember, no."

I asked Officer Adling if he initiated the conversation in the locker room with Sgt. Quinn or if Sgt. Quinn initiated the conversation. He said he didn't remember how the conversation took place.

I reminded Officer Adling that Bennett told me he did not have a wallet and cell phone the evening he was arrested. I asked Officer Adling if he saw somebody's wallet and cell phone in the residence. He said he is 100% positive there was a cell phone. He said he remembers seeing a purse, small handbag or checkbook wallet in the residence. He doesn't know who it belonged to. I asked him why he told me the wallet was Bennett's earlier and he said he was mistaken.

I asked Officer Adling if he spoke to anyone from TPD about this incident since he was placed on administrative leave. He said he did. He said he talked to Officer M. Howard, Crime Analyst S. Howard, Officer Gleason and PRS Vit. He said he told them what the allegations were; however, he did not give them details of the investigation.

I asked Officer Adling if he met Officer Gleason in person at Officer Adling's residence and he said he did on Wednesday, November 27, 2013. I asked him what time and he said approximately 1700 hours. I asked Officer Adling if he used a fictitious friend and using a hypothetical situation to tell Officer Gleason

the details of his investigation. He said he talked about a friend who was in a similar situation.

Liberman requested a break at 1437 hours. We resumed at 1439 hours.

I asked Officer Adling why he was telling Officer Gleason about his friend. He said because it was a similar story involving a cell phone. I asked him if he was discussing his own case with Officer Gleason and he said he wasn't.

I asked Officer Adling, "You admitted earlier that you were untruthful to Sgt. Quinn about telling him about the cell phone and the wallet being returned back to [REDACTED] residence, correct?" He responded by saying, "Yes." I asked him what discipline he thought he would get if he told Sgt. Quinn about the needles. He said he thought he would get three to four days off without pay.

Liberman asked Officer Adling if he is asking to keep his pay and benefits in same way and he said he was. He also asked Officer Adling how he feels about his behavior. He said he is disappointed with himself and afraid of disappointing others.

The interview concluded at 1443 hours.

In summary, during the interview with Officer Adling, he kept going back and forth about the cell phone and wallet and when he returned those items to [REDACTED] He then went back and forth whether Bennett even had a wallet. When first questioned about being untruthful, Officer Adling denied he was untruthful. Officer Adling later clearly admitted he was untruthful when speaking to Sgt. Quinn in the locker room.

On 12/10/13 at approximately 1634 hours I interviewed Officer DelMerari in my office at TPD. I digitally recorded the interview and attached a copy of the CD to this report (attachment 11). The following is a synopsis of the interview:

Officer DelMerari was working patrol at approximately 2300 hours and transported a prisoner to OCJ. I asked him if Officer Adling also brought a prisoner to OCJ around the same time frame. He said he did.

I asked Officer DelMerari if he saw the deputies searching Officer Adling's prisoner (Bennett). He said he did. I asked him what the deputies found and he said several syringes in one of Bennett's front pants pockets. I asked him what the deputies did with the syringes and he said they handed them to Officer Adling. Officer Adling put the syringes in a baggie.

Officer DelMerari said Officer Adling took the baggie of syringes with him to the triage counter and asked the medical staff if Bennett could keep the syringes in his property. Triage staff said he could as long as OCJ staff allows it. Officer Adling returned to the guard station and asked Deputy Lutz if Bennett could keep the syringes in his property. Deputy Lutz said he could as long as medical staff approved.

I told Officer DelMerari I watched the OCJ surveillance video I saw everyone laughing and talking to each other after the syringes were found. I asked Officer DelMerari what was being said and he said they were teasing Officer Adling due to his poor search.

I asked Officer DelMerari if Officer Adling asked him not to tell anybody that the syringes were found. He said he doesn't recall. I asked him if it was possible and he said it was. I asked him if he is saying it's possible because I asked him the question or that's what he believes Officer Adling might have said. He said because I asked him. I asked Officer DelMerari if Officer Adling said anything about not telling a supervisor. He said he didn't.

I asked Officer DelMerari what happened to the syringes. He said he believes they were booked into Bennett's OCJ property. Officer DelMerari said after Officer Adling came back from triage and he began talking to Deputy Lutz, Officer DelMerari received copies of the jail paperwork and he left. Officer Adling remained at the jail so Officer DelMerari does not know what happened with the syringes.

I asked Officer DelMerari if Officer Adling spoke at any time about this incident after he left the jail and now. Officer DelMerari said he didn't.

ADMINISTRATIVE INVESTIGATION TIME LOG – IA #13-221

| DATE | TIME | | ACTIVITY/COMMENTS |
|----------|------|------|--|
| | FROM | TO | |
| 11/21/13 | 1100 | 1200 | Interview witness |
| 11/21/13 | 1600 | 1700 | Witness Interview/Putting Officer Adling on administrative leave |
| 11/24/13 | 2030 | 2230 | Report writing |
| 11/26/13 | 0600 | 0630 | Interview witness |
| 11/26/13 | 1800 | 1900 | Interview witnesses |
| 12/04/13 | 0900 | 1130 | Report writing – Typing interviews |
| 12/04/13 | 1300 | 1500 | Interview with Officer Adling |
| 12/07/13 | 2000 | 2330 | Report writing – Typing interviews |
| 12/08/13 | 2130 | 2300 | Report writing |
| 12/09/13 | 1500 | 1900 | Report writing |
| 12/10/13 | 0930 | 1130 | Complete report – Final review |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

TOTAL TIME SPENT RECAP (ROUNDED TO NEAREST HOUR)

INVESTIGATION

INVESTIGATING OFFICER TIME 21 hours
 INVESTIGATED EMPLOYEES TIME (Including Witnesses)
 TYPING/PREPARING DOCUMENTS & ATTACHMENTS NOT LISTED ABOVE

OTHER TIME SPENT (Justify Below) _____

ADMINISTRATIVE REVIEW TIME NOT LISTED ABOVE

LIEUTENANT _____
 CAPTAIN _____
 CHIEF (Including Review/Skelly Memos/Legal Advice, etc.) _____

APPEALED CASES

CITY MANAGER _____
 ATTORNEYS (Including City Attorney) _____
 MISCELLANEOUS COSTS (Including Hearing Officer) _____



Inter-Com

DATE: DECEMBER 10, 2013
TO: CHIEF CHARLIE CELANO, VIA CHAIN OF COMMAND
FROM: SERGEANT TODD BULLOCK, NORTH AREA COMMANDER
SUBJECT: ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT - #13-22-I (OFFICER MATT ADLING)

*C. CELANO
12-19-13*

CONCLUSION AND REASONING

Based on my review of this investigation, my findings regarding the alleged violations of the Tustin Police General Orders are as follows:

Violation of Tustin Police Department General Orders, Section 340 – Disciplinary Policy:

340.3.5 PERFORMANCE

“(dd.) Giving false or misleading statements, or misrepresenting or omitting material information to a supervisor, or other person in a position of authority, in connection with any investigation or in the reporting of any Department-related business.”

Finding: **SUSTAINED**

Violation of Tustin Police Department General Orders, Section 340 Disciplinary Policy:

“340.3.5 PERFORMANCE

c) Unsatisfactory work performance including but not limited to, failure, incompetence, inefficiency or delay in performing and/or carrying out proper orders, work assignments or instructions of supervisors without a reasonable and bona fide excuse.”

“m) Any knowing or negligent violation of the provisions of the Department manual, operating procedures or other written directive of an authorized supervisor. The Department shall make this manual available to all employees. Employees shall familiarize themselves with and be responsible for compliance with each of the policies contained herein.”

As it pertains to:

903.2 SEARCHING PRISONERS / DETAINEES

"Officers who arrest or detain individuals must control the situation with the tools provided within the law. Officers must stay well versed on the current status of the search of persons and act within the law.

- a) Prior to detaining or transporting an individual in a police vehicle, officers shall conduct an appropriate search of that individual for contraband or weapons (Policy Manual 902.3 Pat-Down Search);
- b) Each time a prisoner comes into the transporting officer's custody, including transfers from one patrol unit to another, the receiving transport officer must search the prisoner."

Finding: **SUSTAINED**

Violation of Tustin Police Department General Orders, Section 340 Disciplinary Policy:

"340.3.5 PERFORMANCE

c) Unsatisfactory work performance including but not limited to, failure, incompetence, inefficiency or delay in performing and/or carrying out proper orders, work assignments or instructions of supervisors without a reasonable and bona fide excuse."

"m) Any knowing or negligent violation of the provisions of the Department manual, operating procedures or other written directive of an authorized supervisor. The Department shall make this manual available to all employees. Employees shall familiarize themselves with and be responsible for compliance with each of the policies contained herein."

As is pertains to:

"804.3 PROPERTY HANDLING

Any employee who first comes into possession of any property, shall retain such property in his/her possession until it is properly tagged and placed in the designated property booking locker (outside of Property and Evidence Unit and available 24 hours a day); or other approved storage area along with the property form. Care shall be taken to maintain the chain of custody for all evidence. Ownership of all property/evidence should be established by the handling officer whenever possible to ensure the proper return of said items upon the disposition of the case.

Where ownership can be established as to found property with no apparent evidentiary value, such property may be released to the owner without the need for booking. The property form must be completed to

document the release of property not booked and the owner shall sign the form acknowledging receipt of the item(s)."

Finding: SUSTAINED

On 111813, Officer Adling assisted Orange County Social Services with taking a child into protective custody from [REDACTED] residence due to unsatisfactory living conditions. Sgt. Quinn was present in the apartment during the incident. One specific reason for removal of the child was because syringes were found inside the residence by SSW [REDACTED] earlier in the day. The presence of these syringes posed a potential danger to the child and a court order was obtained by SSW [REDACTED] to have the child taken into protective custody.

While assisting SSW [REDACTED] in the apartment, a male subject named Charles Bennett was present and later arrested for outstanding warrants. Adling handcuffed Bennett and walked him outside to his patrol car. Adling then transported and booked Bennett at Orange County Jail. At no point from the time Bennett was handcuffed until the time he was released to custodial deputies at the Orange County Sheriff's Department did Adling search Bennett. During a search by OCSD deputies during the booking process, it was discovered Bennett had six hypodermic needles/syringes in his pocket. The deputies handed the syringes to Adling, who then asked triage staff if Bennett could keep them with Bennett's property since Bennett was diabetic. Triage staff referred Adling back to OCSD intake deputies who indicated OCSD would not accept the syringes with Bennett's property.

After leaving Orange County Jail, Adling drove directly back to [REDACTED] residence and briefly spoke with her on the patio outside her apartment. During this contact, Adling handed [REDACTED] a plastic bag containing the six syringes taken from Bennett at Orange County Jail. Adling said to [REDACTED] "Property drop off. Good luck to you." The syringes were the only items Adling gave to [REDACTED] during this contact.

On 111913, Adling and Sgt. Quinn were in the men's locker room preparing for the upcoming shift. There was a brief conversation between Adling and Quinn and during this conversation, Adling told Quinn he returned to [REDACTED] residence after booking Bennett at Orange County Jail to return Bennett's cell phone and wallet to [REDACTED]. Quinn asked Adling why he would return Bennett's property to [REDACTED] and Adling said it was easier than booking it into property.

On 112013, Sergeants Quinn and Whiteley spoke to [REDACTED] about the contact she had with Adling after Bennett had been arrested and booked into jail. [REDACTED] told Quinn that Adling did in fact return to her apartment after Bennett had been arrested. [REDACTED] also indicated Adling handed her a plastic bag containing the six syringes. She said Adling never gave her a wallet or cell phone.

During the course of this administrative investigation, Adling was interviewed about the circumstances of the arrest, his conversation with [REDACTED] after the arrest as well as about his conversation with Quinn in the locker room regarding returning Bennett's property to [REDACTED]. Through the majority of the interview, Adling maintained he did return Bennett's wallet and cell phone to [REDACTED] after booking Bennett into Orange County Jail. After being told that Bennett said he did not possess a wallet and had sold his cell phone before his arrest at [REDACTED] residence, Adling began to change his story during the interrogation.

Adling then said he remembered seeing a cell phone and a wallet while in [REDACTED] apartment, but was now unsure if he actually had these items with him when he was at the jail or if he actually returned them to [REDACTED]. When questioned further about never returning a wallet or cell phone back to [REDACTED], Adling finally admitted he did not. Adling eventually admitted he fabricated the story to Quinn while in the locker room about returning Bennett's wallet and cell phone because he did not want to get disciplined for failing to properly search Bennett prior to taking him to Orange County Jail. Adling said because he had recently been disciplined for a prior incident, he thought he would receive 3 to 4 day suspension if it was discovered he missed finding the needles on Bennett.

I am sustaining all three allegations against Officer Adling. Through his own admission, and witness corroboration, Adling failed to properly search Bennett after placing him in police custody. Adling transported Bennett in his police unit, escorted him into Orange County Jail and released him to intake deputies without ever properly searching him. Deputies at Orange County Jail were the first to discover Bennett had numerous syringes in his pocket which not only brings a negative light on our agency, but is also a significant officer safety concern.

In this case, Bennett was legally allowed to possess hypodermic needles and syringes because he is diabetic. If Orange County Jail did not want to take possession of Bennett's property, which in this case was his syringes, it was Adling's responsibility to take custody of the property and to properly book the items as safekeeping at Tustin P.D. At no point did Bennett ask Adling to deliver the items to [REDACTED] nor was a property release form obtained. Instead, Adling chose to take Bennett's needles to [REDACTED] apartment; the same apartment from where her child was removed just hours earlier in part, because needles were found there.

Finally, Adling was untruthful. Through his own admission, Adling intentionally misled Quinn during their conversation in the locker room. Adling told Quinn he returned to [REDACTED] residence to deliver Bennett's wallet and cell phone. At no point was a wallet or cell phone ever involved in this delivery. Instead, Adling only delivered the needles found by deputies during the search at Orange County Jail. Adling admitted he fabricated the story about returning Bennett's wallet and cell phone to cover up the fact that he never properly searched Bennett. Adling lied because he thought he was going to get in trouble for never searching Bennett.

Untruthfulness is one of the most serious violations in law enforcement. By sustaining this allegation, we are required to inform the Orange County District Attorney's Office, which could negatively impact Adling's ability to perform as a police officer.

PRIOR ACTIONS

082713 – Adling received a 25 hour suspension for violating Tustin Police Department Policy as it relates to insubordination.

RECOMMENDATION

Review by Bureau Commander.

ATTACHMENT 3



Tustin Police Department

Call For Service

Generated by 914 on 11/21/2013

| | | | |
|--------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|---------------------------------|
| Incident No. | 3TU0049260 | Address | 15582 WILLIAMS #4 |
| Received | 11/18/13 21:31:20 | Reference | <WILLIAMS GARDENS> |
| Agency | P / AA AGENCY ASSIST | Cross | MAIN |
| Boat | 2 | Police Dist | 211 |
| How Received | OS | Fire Dist | 211 |
| Priority | 4 | Map Guide | B29J4 |
| Disposition | 01 | Census | 49 |
| | | Reporting Party | |
| Dispatcher | | Address | |
| CRO: | 1013 | Phone: | |

Time:

| | | | | |
|-----------|-----------------|------------------|--------|------------------|
| | <u>Time.</u> | | | |
| Received: | 21:31:20 | <u>Duration.</u> | | |
| Dispatch | 21:31:20 | -- | | <u>Duration.</u> |
| Enroute | 21:31:20 | -- | RC/AS: | -- |
| At Scene: | 21:31:20 | -- | DI/AS | -- |
| Close | 23:50:16 | 02:18:56 | DI/CC | 02:18:56 |

911:

Reporting Party:
 Phone:
 Address
 City:
 Class:
 RP:
 LAT/LON: /

Uniques:

| Case No | Date & Time | User |
|----------------|-------------------|------|
| CR1300006603TU | 11/18/13 22:24:45 | 1013 |
| AR1300003145TU | 11/18/13 22:25:00 | 1013 |

Comments:

| Made By | Made At | Comment |
|---------|-------------------|--|
| 1013 | 11/18/13 22:24:45 | CASE NUMBER = CR-13-00006603 (TU) |
| 1013 | 11/18/13 22:25:00 | CASE NUMBER = AR-13-00003145 (TU) |
| 1013 | 11/18/13 21:31:20 | INCIDENT NUMBER.3TU0049260 |
| 1013 | 11/18/13 21:38:32 | HAVE CONTACT AT #4 |
| 1013 | 11/18/13 21:38:50 | 412 WILL ADVISE ON ANOTHER UNIT |
| 1013 | 11/18/13 21:39:39 | AA FOR SOCIAL SERVICES |
| 1013 | 11/18/13 21:43:39 | [REDACTED] |
| 1013 | 11/18/13 21:57:14 | HAVE CONTACT |
| 1257 | 11/18/13 22:01:55 | QN,412 .BENNETT ,CHARLES [REDACTED] ,CA ,M |
| 1257 | 11/18/13 22:03:52 | QO,412 [REDACTED] ,CA |
| 1257 | 11/18/13 22:06:11 | QN,412 [REDACTED] |
| 1013 | 11/18/13 22:09:07 | 10-15 ON BENNETT |



Tustin Police Department

Call For Service

Generated by 914 on 11/21/2013

1013 11/18/13 23:46:09 412 BACK AT RESIDENCE FOR PROPERTY DROP OFF
 1013 11/18/13 23:47:26 DISREGARD COMMENT REGARDING ARREST OF [REDACTED]
 1013 11/18/13 23:49:34 3 YRS OLD REMOVED FROM HOME BY SOCIAL SERVICES
 1013 11/18/13 23:50:01 ARR/BKD/OCJ BENNETT, CHARLES [REDACTED] WARRANT ARREST
 1013 11/18/13 23:50:16 Closed dispo ARRESTED
 1013 11/18/13 23:50:17 ADLING

History

| Unit | Empl | Date & Time | CMD | Type | Location | User |
|------|------|-------------------|-----|------|-------------------------------------|------|
| | | 11/18/13 21:31:20 | FC | AA | HEIDEMAN | 1013 |
| 412 | 1208 | 11/18/13 21:31:20 | OS | AA | HEIDEMAN | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 21:31:24 | MR | AA | MG: | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 21:31:24 | MR | AA | AD:HEIDEMAN | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 21:31:24 | MR | AA | PD | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 21:31:24 | MR | AA | BT | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 21:31:24 | MR | AA | FD | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 21:31:24 | MR | AA | CN | 1013 |
| 412 | 1208 | 11/18/13 21:38:25 | SC | AA | 15582 WILLIAMS #4 | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 21:39:27 | MR | AA | PD:213S | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 21:39:27 | MR | AA | AD:15571 WILLIAMS | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 21:39:27 | MR | AA | FD:213S | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 21:39:27 | MR | AA | CN 48 | 1013 |
| S41 | 1021 | 11/18/13 21:42:02 | DI | AA | 15582 WILLIAMS #4 | 1013 |
| S41 | 1021 | 11/18/13 21:57:16 | UA | AA | 15582 WILLIAMS #4 | 1013 |
| 412 | 1208 | 11/18/13 22:01:53 | QN | | BENNETT, CHARLES, [REDACTED], CA, M | 1257 |
| 412 | 1208 | 11/18/13 22:03:50 | QO | | [REDACTED], CA | 1257 |
| 412 | 1208 | 11/18/13 22:06:08 | QN | | [REDACTED] | 1257 |
| S41 | 1021 | 11/18/13 22:17:17 | SC | AA | 15582 WILLIAMS #4 | 1013 |
| S41 | 1021 | 11/18/13 22:24:03 | CC | AA | Dispo | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 22:24:45 | GC | | CR1300006603TU | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 22:25:00 | GC | | AR1300003145TU | 1013 |
| 412 | 1208 | 11/18/13 22:26:56 | SC | AA | 10-19 10-15 | 1013 |
| 412 | 1208 | 11/18/13 22:29:54 | SC | AA | 10-15S | 1013 |



Tustin Police Department

Call For Service

Generated by 914 on 11/21/2013

| | | | | | | |
|-----|------|-------------------|----|----|-------------------|------|
| 412 | 1208 | 11/18/13 22 52 57 | SC | AA | ENR OCJ | 1013 |
| 412 | 1208 | 11/18/13 23 01 36 | SC | AA | OCJ | 1044 |
| 412 | 1208 | 11/18/13 23 45 58 | SC | AA | 15582 WILLIAMS #4 | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 23 47 48 | RX | AA | 15582 WILLIAMS #4 | 1013 |
| 412 | 1208 | 11/18/13 23 47 48 | DX | | | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 23 48 08 | MR | AA | PRI 2* | 1013 |
| | | 11/18/13 23 50 16 | CC | AA | Dispo:01 | 1013 |

ATTACHMENT 2

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|--|------------------------|---|--|---|--|------------------------|---|--------------------------|--|--|--|
| 1 NO SUSPECT INFO 2 NO PROSECUTION ONSITE 3 INSURANCE REPORT 4 COURTNEY REPORT 5 DOMESTIC VIOLENCE 6 HATE MOTIVATED 7 GANG RELATED 8 CONFIDENTIAL SEX CRIME | | TUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT 300 CENTENNIAL WAY TUSTIN, CA 92780 CRIME/INCIDENT REPORT <small>TPD #100 (REV 07/10)</small> | | | | 1 A ACTIVE B1 SUSPENDED R1 RECORDS K1 COURTNEY 3 FEL. (1) MISD. | | 4 OR# 13-6603 6 REFER OTHER REPORTS | | | | | | |
| CRIME | 9 CODE SECTION INFO SHOWED REMOVE CLIP FROM HOME | | 7 CRIME/INCIDENT TYPE 422100 | | 8 PRIMARY-COUNTY 421500 | | 10 OTHER-COUNTY 421600 | | 11 OTHER-COUNTY | | | | | |
| | 13 SPECIFIC LOCATION OF CRIME 15582 74 WILLIAMS ST | | | | 14 OCCURRED ON (DAY/MONTH/YEAR) 11-18-13 | | 15 DATE MON | | 16 TIME 2131 | | | | | |
| | 17 FIRM NAME (if location) 18 DATE RPT'D 11-18-13 | | 19 TIME RPT'D 2131 | | 20 AND 21 DATE 1 | | 22 DAY 1 | | 23 TIME | | | | | |
| VICTIM | 24 NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) | | | 25 OCCUPATION | | | 26 D.O.B. | | | 27 SEX <input type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F | | | | |
| | 28 RESIDENCE ADDRESS | | | 29 CITY STATE ZIP CODE | | | 30 RES. PHONE | | | 31 HT | | | | |
| | 32 BUSINESS NAME & ADDRESS | | | 33 CITY STATE ZIP CODE | | | 34 BUS. PHONE | | | 35 WT | | | | |
| | 36 E-MAIL | | | 37 CELL PHONE | | | | | | | | | | |
| VICTIMS / WITNESS / RP / SUBJECTS | 38 CODE RP | 39 NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) | | | 40 OCCUPATION | | | 41 D.O.B. | | | | | | |
| | 42 RESIDENCE ADDRESS | | | 43 CITY STATE ZIP CODE | | | 44 RES. PHONE | | | 45 HT | | | | |
| | 46 BUSINESS NAME & ADDRESS | | | 47 CITY STATE ZIP CODE | | | 48 BUS. PHONE | | | 49 WT | | | | |
| | 50 E-MAIL | | | 51 CELL PHONE | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 52 CODE SB | 53 NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) | | | 54 OCCUPATION | | | 55 D.O.B. | | | | | | |
| | 56 RESIDENCE ADDRESS | | | 57 CITY STATE ZIP CODE | | | 58 RES. PHONE | | | 59 HT | | | | |
| | 60 BUSINESS NAME & ADDRESS | | | 61 CITY STATE ZIP CODE | | | 62 BUS. PHONE | | | 63 WT | | | | |
| | 64 E-MAIL | | | 65 CELL PHONE | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 66 CODE SB | 67 NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) | | | 68 OCCUPATION | | | 69 D.O.B. | | | | | | |
| | 70 RESIDENCE ADDRESS | | | 71 CITY STATE ZIP CODE | | | 72 RES. PHONE | | | 73 HT | | | | |
| | 74 BUSINESS NAME & ADDRESS | | | 75 CITY STATE ZIP CODE | | | 76 BUS. PHONE | | | 77 WT | | | | |
| | 78 E-MAIL | | | 79 CELL PHONE | | | | | | | | | | |
| VIC VEH | 87 LICENSE # | | 88 STATE | | 89 YEAR | | 90 MAKE | | 91 MODEL | | | | | |
| | 92 COLOR/COLOR | | 93 OTHER CHARACTERISTICS | | 94 BODY STYLE <input type="checkbox"/> UNK <input type="checkbox"/> 4-DR <input type="checkbox"/> PU <input type="checkbox"/> VAN <input type="checkbox"/> RV <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER <input type="checkbox"/> 2-DR <input type="checkbox"/> CONV <input type="checkbox"/> TRUCK <input type="checkbox"/> SW <input type="checkbox"/> MC | | 95 DISPOSITION OF VEHICLE | | | | | | | |
| MAVS | MAVS <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO | | BOOK MARKED AS EVIDENCE <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO | | | | RETENTION PERIOD <input type="checkbox"/> 5 YEARS <input type="checkbox"/> PERMANENT | | | | | | | |
| | FACTORS | 96 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 THERE IS A WITNESS TO THE CRIME <input type="checkbox"/> 2 A SUSPECT WAS ARRESTED <input type="checkbox"/> 3 A SUSPECT WAS NAMED <input type="checkbox"/> 4 A SUSPECT CAN BE LOCATED <input type="checkbox"/> 5 A SUSPECT CAN BE DESCRIBED <input type="checkbox"/> 6 A SUSPECT CAN BE IDENTIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> 7 A SUSPECT VEHICLE CAN BE IDENTIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> 8 THERE IS IDENTIFIABLE STOLEN PROPERTY <input type="checkbox"/> 9 THERE IS A SIGNIFICANT M.O. <input type="checkbox"/> 10 SIGNIFICANT PHYSICAL EVIDENCE IS PRESENT <input type="checkbox"/> 11 THERE IS A MAJOR INJURY/SEX CRIME INVOLVED <input type="checkbox"/> 12 THERE IS A GOOD POSSIBILITY OF A SOLUTION <input type="checkbox"/> 13 FURTHER INVESTIGATION NEEDED <input type="checkbox"/> 14 CRIME IS GANG RELATED <input type="checkbox"/> 15 HATE CRIME RELATED <input type="checkbox"/> 16 REPRODUCTIVE HEALTH CRIME | | | | EVIDENCE | 97 <input type="checkbox"/> 1 FINGERPRINTS <input type="checkbox"/> 2 TOOLS <input type="checkbox"/> 3 TOOL MARKINGS <input type="checkbox"/> 4 GLASS <input type="checkbox"/> 5 PAINT <input type="checkbox"/> 6 BULLET CASING <input type="checkbox"/> 7 BULLET <input type="checkbox"/> 8 RAPE KIT <input type="checkbox"/> 9 SEMEN <input type="checkbox"/> 10 BLOOD <input type="checkbox"/> 11 URINE <input type="checkbox"/> 12 HAIR <input type="checkbox"/> 13 FIREARMS <input type="checkbox"/> 14 PHOTOGRAPHS <input type="checkbox"/> 15 OTHER (DESCRIBE) | | | | DOMESTIC VIOLENCE | 98 1. ORDER SERVED AT SCENE BY: P# _____ 2. ENTRY MADE BY: P# _____ 3. NAME OF PERSON SERVED: _____ 4. RESPONDENT SIGNATURE: _____ | | |
| | | | | CSI | 100 CSI PERFORMED BY: NAME & P# _____ NOTES: _____ | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 101 SUPERVISOR AT SCENE (RANK, NAME & ID NO.) SGT OUSMAN #1021 | | | | | 102 MARSY'S LAW NOTIFICATION <input type="checkbox"/> YES | | | | | 103 UNIT NUMBER | | | | |
| 104 REPORTING OFFICER (ID NO.) ADLAN 01208 | | | 106 DATE 11-28-13 | | 105 APPROVED BY (ID NO.) [Signature] | | | 107 DATE 11/21/13 | | | | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|---|--|---------------------------|--|-----------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|---------------------------------|---|--|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|----------------------|--|----------------------|-------------------------------|--|--|-----------------------|--|---------------|--|--------------------|--|------------|--|---------------|--|-----------------------|--|-------------------------|--|------------------|--|-------------------|--|--------------|--|--------------|--|---------------|--|--------------|--|------------|--|-----------|--|-----------------|--|---------------|--|--------------|--|------------|--|-------------|--|-----------|--|
| 1 GAND RELATED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> ADULT ARREST <input type="checkbox"/> SUSPECT ONLY <input type="checkbox"/> JUVENILE ARREST <input type="checkbox"/> SUPPLEMENT TO ORD RPT | | TUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT 300 CENTENNIAL WAY TUSTIN, CA 92780 SUSPECT/ARRESTEE REPORT | | | | 8 A <input type="checkbox"/> ACTIVE B <input type="checkbox"/> SUSPENDED R <input type="checkbox"/> RECORDS K <input type="checkbox"/> COURTESY | | 4 CRI 13-6603 8 REPR OTHER RPT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | 5 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FEL <input type="checkbox"/> MISD. | | 8 PAGE 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| CRIME | 7 CODE SECTION 113770 H+1 | | 8 CRIME / INCIDENT TYPE WARRANT FOR POSSESSION | | 9 PRIMARY COUNT# | | 10 SECONDARY COUNT# | | 11 OTHER COUNT# | | 12 OTHER COUNT# | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 13 LOCATION OF CRIME/ARREST 15582 WILLIAMS #4 TUSTIN CA 92780 | | | | | 14 DATE OF CRIME / ARREST 11-18-13 | | 16 IBS OF CRIME / ARREST 1908 2209 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VEHICLE | 15 LICENSE # | | 17 STATE | | 18 VEHYR | | 19 MAKE | | 20 MODEL | | 21 BODY | | 22 UNK | | 23 2-DR | | 24 4-DR | | 25 CONV | | 26 PU | | 27 TRUCK | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 23 COLOR/COLOR N/A | | 23 OTHER CHARACTERISTICS (i.e. TID Damage, Unique Marks or Paint, etc.) | | | | | | | | | | 24 DISPOSITION OF VEH | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 18 REGISTERED OWNER | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| SUSPECT / JUVENILE | 28 NAME (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) BENNETT CHARLES | | | | | | | | | | 27 SEX <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> M <input type="checkbox"/> F | | 18 OGB | | 29 RACE <input type="checkbox"/> WHT <input type="checkbox"/> 2 HSP <input type="checkbox"/> 3 BLK <input type="checkbox"/> 4 IND <input type="checkbox"/> 5 CH <input type="checkbox"/> 6 JAPN <input type="checkbox"/> 8 PHL <input type="checkbox"/> 9 VHT <input type="checkbox"/> 10 OTH | | 30 AKA | | 31 POB North Carolina | | 32 HGT 5-7 | | 33 WGT 160 | | 34 BUILD <input type="checkbox"/> 1 THN <input type="checkbox"/> 2 MED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 3 UNK <input type="checkbox"/> 4 HEAVY <input type="checkbox"/> 5 MUSCLR | | 35 STATE CA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 35 HAIR <input type="checkbox"/> 1 BRO <input type="checkbox"/> 2 BLK <input type="checkbox"/> 3 BLN <input type="checkbox"/> 4 RED <input type="checkbox"/> 5 GRAY <input type="checkbox"/> 6 B/P <input type="checkbox"/> 7 WHI <input type="checkbox"/> 8 OTH | | | | 36 EYES <input type="checkbox"/> 1 UNK <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 BRO <input type="checkbox"/> 3 BLU <input type="checkbox"/> 4 GRN <input type="checkbox"/> 5 HAZEL <input type="checkbox"/> 6 DRAY <input type="checkbox"/> 7 OTH | | | | 37 GL 4 | | 38 STATE | | 39 RESIDENCE ADDRESS 14282 S. BEVERLY SANTA ANA | | 40 ZIP CODE 92704 | | 41 RES. PHONE 714 979 8482 | | 42 CELL PHONE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 43 BUSINESS OR SCHOOL NAME AND ADDRESS NDMG | | | | 44 ZIP CODE | | 45 GRADE | | 46 BUS. PHONE | | 47 SSN # | | 48 OCCUPATION (S) NDMG | | 49 STATUS <input type="checkbox"/> 1 DRIVER <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 PASS <input type="checkbox"/> 3 PED | | 50 BOOKING # 13-315 OCT 27 91 942 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 51 CLOTHING BLK T-SHIRT / GRAY SWEATS / BLACK SHOES | | 52 GAND AFFILIATION HOW KNOWN <input type="checkbox"/> 1 KNOWN <input type="checkbox"/> 2 SUSPECTED | | 53 AMOUNT OF HAIR Q21 | | 54 HAIR STYLE Q24 | | 55 FACIAL HAIR Q25 | | 56 COMPLEXION Q27 | | 57 HAIR LENGTH Q22 | | 58 HAIR COITION Q23 | | 59 R/L HANDED Q28 | | 60 GLASSES Q29 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 61 FULL HEAD OF HAIR | | 62 THINNING | | 63 RECEDING | | 64 BALD | | 65 UNKNOWN | | 66 OTHER | | 67 REGULAR | | 68 PART RIGHT | | 69 PART LEFT | | 70 PART CENTER | | 71 STRAIGHT BACK | | 72 COMBED FORWARD | | 73 BUZZ JOB | | 74 FLAT TOP | | 75 STRAIGHT | | 76 CURLY OR PERMED | | 77 WAVY | | 78 AFRO CLOSE | | 79 AFRO FULL (1 INCH) | | 80 PROCESSED (BLK ONLY) | | 81 IN CORN ROWS | | 82 WITH PONY TAIL | | 83 WITH TAIL | | 84 IN BRAIDS | | 85 NEW WAVE | | 86 PUNK | | 87 UNKNOWN | | 88 OTHER | | 89 SHAVED | | 90 FADE | | 91 MULLET | | | | | | | |
| | 92 CLEAN | | 93 DIRTY | | 94 GREASY | | 95 MATTED | | 96 OOR | | 97 OTHER | | 98 CLEAN SHAVEN | | 99 MUSTACHE-THIN | | 100 MUSTACHE-THICK | | 101 UNSHAVEN | | 102 FEW DAYS GROWTH | | 103 SHORT BEARD | | 104 LONG BEARD | | 105 UNKEMPT BEARD | | 106 SIDEBURNS | | 107 PUMANCHU | | 108 OCATEE | | 109 UNKNOWN | | 110 OTHER | | 111 VAN DYKE | | 112 LIGHT / FAIR | | 113 MEDIUM | | 114 DARK | | 115 TAN | | 116 SUNBURNED | | 117 FRECKLED | | 118 OLIVE | | 119 RUDDY | | 120 ACNE/POCKED | | 121 WEATHERED | | 122 WRINKLED | | 123 ALBINO | | 124 UNKNOWN | | 125 OTHER | |
| | 126 YES 8UT NO DESCR. | | 127 PRESCRIPTION | | 128 SUN GLASSES | | 129 PLASTIC FRAME - COLOR | | 130 WIRE FRAME | | 131 CONTACTS | | 132 NONE | | 133 UNKNOWN | | 134 OTHER | | 135 YES | | 136 NO | | 137 YES | | 138 NO | | 139 YES | | 140 NO | | 141 YES | | 142 NO | | 143 YES | | 144 NO | | 145 YES | | 146 NO | | 147 YES | | 148 NO | | 149 YES | | 150 NO | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 62 DISPOSITION: ADULT ARRESTEE <input type="checkbox"/> 848b1 <input type="checkbox"/> DETOX <input type="checkbox"/> RELESD O.R. <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BOOKED OCH <input type="checkbox"/> BOOKED OTHER | | | | 63 MISC. ARR / CITE / RELEASE | | | | CITATION # | | | | 64 TRANSPORTED BY: AD LING #1208 | | | | 65 DATE/TIME 11-18-13 | | | | 66 FINGERPRINTED OCT | | | | 67 PHOTOGRAPHED OCT | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 66 REPORTING OFFICER / IO NO. AD LING #1208 | | | | 68 DATE 11-18-13 | | | | 69 APPROVED BY / IO NO. | | | | 70 DATE | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

13-6603

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|------------|--|------------|---|------------|---|------------|--|------------|---|------------|--|------------|
| 03 SPEECH <input type="checkbox"/> 01 NORMAL <input type="checkbox"/> 02 LISP <input type="checkbox"/> 03 SLUR/IND <input type="checkbox"/> 04 STUTTER <input type="checkbox"/> 05 QUIET <input type="checkbox"/> 06 HIGH PITCHED <input type="checkbox"/> 07 SOFT <input type="checkbox"/> 08 UNKNOWN OR NOT HEARD <input type="checkbox"/> 09 OTHER | 030 | 04 TEETH <input type="checkbox"/> 01 BRACKLE <input type="checkbox"/> 02 DUCKED <input type="checkbox"/> 03 CHIPPED <input type="checkbox"/> 04 MISSING <input type="checkbox"/> 05 G/COCKED <input type="checkbox"/> 06 GOLD / SILVER CAPPED <input type="checkbox"/> 07 STAINED <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 08 NORMAL TEETH <input type="checkbox"/> 09 UNKNOWN <input type="checkbox"/> 10 OTHER | 031 | 05 UNIQUE CLOTHING <input type="checkbox"/> 01 GLACH CLAITH'S <input type="checkbox"/> 02 TRANSIENT <input type="checkbox"/> 03 CONSTRUCTION <input type="checkbox"/> 04 GANG STYLE <input type="checkbox"/> 05 PUNK <input type="checkbox"/> 06 MILITARY <input type="checkbox"/> 07 OTHER UNIFORM <input type="checkbox"/> 08 WELL DRESSED <input type="checkbox"/> 09 NORMAL DAY CLOTHES <input type="checkbox"/> 10 HAT <input type="checkbox"/> 11 SKI MASK <input type="checkbox"/> 12 STOCKING MASK <input type="checkbox"/> 13 UNKNOWN <input type="checkbox"/> 14 OTHER | 032 | 06 WEAPON TYPE <input type="checkbox"/> 01 HANDB <input type="checkbox"/> 02 FI-RT <input type="checkbox"/> 03 CLUB <input type="checkbox"/> 04 MARTIAL ARTS <input type="checkbox"/> 05 BASEBALL BAT <input type="checkbox"/> 06 NUNCHUKU <input type="checkbox"/> 07 OTHER STRIKING OBJECT <input type="checkbox"/> 08 KNIFE <input type="checkbox"/> 09 BOTTLE <input type="checkbox"/> 10 SIMULATED FIREARM <input type="checkbox"/> 11 TOY GUN <input type="checkbox"/> 12 HANOGUN <input type="checkbox"/> 13 RIFLE / SHOTGUN <input type="checkbox"/> 14 NONE <input type="checkbox"/> 15 UNKNOWN <input type="checkbox"/> 16 OTHER | 033 | 07 ACCENT <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 01 US NORMAL <input type="checkbox"/> 02 US SOUTHERN <input type="checkbox"/> 03 US EAST COAST <input type="checkbox"/> 04 BLACK ISLAND <input type="checkbox"/> 05 SPANISH <input type="checkbox"/> 06 ASIAN <input type="checkbox"/> 07 EUROPEAN <input type="checkbox"/> 08 MIDDLE EAST <input type="checkbox"/> 09 JAMAICAN <input type="checkbox"/> 10 UNKNOWN OR NOT HEARD <input type="checkbox"/> 11 OTHER | 034 | 08 SCARS, MARKS, OR TATTOOS <input type="checkbox"/> 01 FACE <input type="checkbox"/> 02 TEETH <input type="checkbox"/> 03 NECK <input type="checkbox"/> 04 RIGHT ARM <input type="checkbox"/> 05 LEFT ARM <input type="checkbox"/> 06 RIGHT HAND <input type="checkbox"/> 07 LEFT HAND <input type="checkbox"/> 08 RIGHT LEG <input type="checkbox"/> 09 LEFT LEG <input type="checkbox"/> 10 RIGHT SHOULDER <input type="checkbox"/> 11 LEFT SHOULDER <input type="checkbox"/> 12 FRONT TORSO <input type="checkbox"/> 13 BACK TORSO <input type="checkbox"/> 14 MISSING FINGERS <input type="checkbox"/> 15 MISSING LIMBS <input type="checkbox"/> 16 UNKNOWN <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 17 OTHER SCAR HEAD | 035 | 09 FIREARM DETAIL <input type="checkbox"/> 01 BLUE STEEL <input type="checkbox"/> 02 CHROME / NICKEL <input type="checkbox"/> 03 REVOLVER <input type="checkbox"/> 04 AUTOMATIC <input type="checkbox"/> 05 RINGING <input type="checkbox"/> 06 PUMP <input type="checkbox"/> 07 BOLT ACTION <input type="checkbox"/> 08 DOUBLE BARREL <input type="checkbox"/> 09 OVER-UNDER <input type="checkbox"/> 10 DISGUISED FIREARM <input type="checkbox"/> 11 SAWED OFF <input type="checkbox"/> 12 ALTERED STOCK <input type="checkbox"/> 13 NONE <input type="checkbox"/> 14 UNKNOWN <input type="checkbox"/> 15 OTHER | 036 |
|---|------------|--|------------|---|------------|---|------------|--|------------|---|------------|--|------------|

- JUVENILES ONLY -

| | | | | | |
|---|-----------------------|---------------------|---|-----------------------|-----------------|
| 03 FATHER (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) | 01 OCCUPATION | 03 DOB | 03 NOTIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | 04 DATE | 05 TIME |
| 06 RESIDENCE ADDRESS | 07 CITY | 08 ZIP CODE | 09 RES. PHONE | 10 CELL PHONE | |
| 09 BUSINESS ADDRESS | 02 CITY | 03 ZIP CODE | 04 BUS. PHONE | | |
| 15 MOTHER (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) | 00 OCCUPATION | 07 DOB | 01 NOTIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | 00 DATE | 00 TIME |
| 10 RESIDENCE ADDRESS | 102 CITY | 103 ZIP CODE | 104 RES. PHONE | 105 CELL PHONE | |
| 108 BUSINESS ADDRESS | 107 CITY | 108 ZIP CODE | 109 BUS. PHONE | | |
| 110 STEP FATHER (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) | 11 OCCUPATION | 112 DOB | 113 NOTIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | 114 DATE | 115 TIME |
| 118 RESIDENCE ADDRESS | 117 CITY | 118 ZIP CODE | 119 RES. PHONE | 120 CELL PHONE | |
| 121 BUSINESS ADDRESS | 122 CITY | 123 ZIP CODE | 124 BUS. PHONE | | |
| 126 STEP MOTHER (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) | 128 OCCUPATION | 127 DOB | 128 NOTIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | 129 DATE | 130 TIME |
| 131 RESIDENCE ADDRESS | 132 CITY | 133 ZIP CODE | 134 RES. PHONE | 135 CELL PHONE | |
| 138 BUSINESS ADDRESS | 137 CITY | 138 ZIP CODE | 139 BUS. PHONE | | |
| 145 LEGAL GUARDIAN (LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE) | 141 OCCUPATION | 142 DOB | 143 NOTIFIED <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | 144 DATE | 145 TIME |
| 148 RESIDENCE ADDRESS | 147 CITY | 148 ZIP CODE | 149 RES. PHONE | 150 CELL PHONE | |
| 151 BUSINESS ADDRESS | 152 CITY | 153 ZIP CODE | 154 BUS. PHONE | | |

135 DISPOSITION: JUVENILE (MUST BE COMPLETED)
 RELEASED TO PARENT OBTAINED JUVENILE HALL-PARENT NOTIFIED YES NO
 YOUTH SHELTER HOME UNABLE TO LOCATE PARENT PARENT REFUSED CUSTODY

158 FOLLOW UP: (MUST BE COMPLETED) NO FOLLOW UP REQUIRED FOLLOW UP REQUIRED DIVERSION PETITION INFORMAL COUNSEL

| | | | |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| 157 REPORTING OFFICER / ID NO. AD LING #1208 | 158 DATE 11-5-13 | 159 APPROVED BY / ID NO. | 160 DATE |
|--|----------------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|

**TUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
INFORMATION REGARDING REMOVAL OF CHILD FROM HOME
ADDITIONAL WARRANT ARREST
CR 12-6603
Officer Adling #1208**

Synopsis:

Child Protective Services requested assistance in removing a child from the home. A records check of the mother's friend, who was in the apartment, revealed an outstanding warrant for his arrest.

Narrative:

On 11-18-12 at approximately 2131 hours I was dispatched to 15582 Williams Street #4 to assist Social Services. Upon arrival I spoke to Social Worker [REDACTED] who told me the following.

[REDACTED] told me she received information regarding a female, [REDACTED] who is using drugs and neglecting her three year old daughter, [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] told me she arrived at the residence earlier in the day at about 1202 hours to conduct a welfare check of [REDACTED]. Officer Roque and Officer Jankowski arrived and assisted [REDACTED] in conducting the welfare check. During this visit the house was in disarray with food, clothing, and trash on the floor. The apartment had cockroaches and ants crawling on the walls in each room.

Officers conducted a search of the house for signs of drug use and drugs but none were found. Officers found unused hypodermic needles in [REDACTED] purse. [REDACTED] explained the needles belonged to her "Uncle", Charles Bennett and he uses the needles because he is diabetic.

Roque and Jankowski were unable to confirm the alleged drug use, and did not feel the neglect of the child warranted the removal of [REDACTED] from the house.

[REDACTED] told me she was unable to remove [REDACTED] from the home until she spoke to her supervisor and obtained a warrant. [REDACTED] obtained a warrant

**TUSTIN POLICE DEPARTMENT
INFORMATION REGARDING REMOVAL OF CHILD FROM HOME
ADDITIONAL WARRANT ARREST
CR 12-6603
Officer Adling #1208**

for the removal of [REDACTED] from the residence and returned to the residence at about 1702 hours.

Officer Mitchell and I arrived to assist [REDACTED] with the service of the warrant. We were unable to contact [REDACTED] and no one answered the door. [REDACTED] told me she was unable to enter the residence unless [REDACTED] answered the door due to Social Services policies and procedures.

At about 2131 hours I returned to the residence with [REDACTED] and Sergeant Quinn and at about 2155 hours we were able to wake [REDACTED] and Charles and they opened the door.

[REDACTED] executed the warrant by removing [REDACTED] from the residence and placing her in Orangewood.

I spoke to Charles who confirmed he was diabetic and the needles belonged to him.

I had TPD dispatch conduct a records check of Charles and the check revealed Charles had two active warrants. The first warrant was for 11377(a) H&S for \$20,000. Warrant #13WF2218 issued on 08-05-13. The second warrant was for 14601.1(a) CVC driving on a suspended license for \$2,500.00. Warrant #13CM0359 issued on 08-05-13.

I placed Charles under arrest for the warrants and transported him to TPD for pre-booking paperwork.

After completing the pre-booking paperwork I transported Charles to OCJ for booking. OCJ booking number 2796942.

Adling #1208

13-6603

| | | | |
|---|--|--|------------------------------|
| PETITIONER Orange County Social Services Agency Children and Family Services 800 N. Eckhoff St. Orange, CA 92688 | | SOCIAL WORKER NAME: [REDACTED] PHONE: [REDACTED] FAX: [REDACTED] | |
| SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF ORANGE 341 The City Drive South Orange, CA 92688 | | | |
| CHILD(REN)'S NAME(S): [REDACTED] | | DOB: [REDACTED] | DP/J #: [REDACTED] |
| COURT FINDINGS AND ORDERS AUTHORIZING WARRANT FOR: <input type="checkbox"/> INVESTIGATORY SCHOOL INTERVIEW <input type="checkbox"/> ENTRY INTO HOME <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> PROTECTIVE CUSTODY WARRANT <input type="checkbox"/> INVESTIGATORY MEDICAL EXAM | | | DEPT. NO.: |

Based on the application presented to the Court by the social worker in this matter, the Court makes the following findings and orders:

Investigatory School Interview:

- Investigatory School Interview denied. The request fails to state facts sufficient to establish reasonable cause.
- Investigatory School Interview is granted (insert child's name, school name, and school address):
 - There is reasonable cause to believe that a school interview is necessary to ensure the safety and protection of the child, and to determine whether child welfare services should be offered and/or whether juvenile court proceedings should be commenced.

Entry into the Child's Home:

- Entry into the child's home denied. The request fails to state facts sufficient to establish reasonable cause.
- Entry into child's home (insert child's name and address) is granted:
 - There is reasonable cause to believe entry into the child's home by CFS and/or law enforcement investigators is required in order for investigators to see and speak with the child, to inspect the safety of the home, to determine whether child welfare services should be offered to the family and to determine whether juvenile court proceedings should be commenced.
 - Good cause having been shown, pursuant to Penal Code §§ 840(4) and 1533, this warrant may be served and executed at any time of the day or night.

Protective Custody:

- Protective Custody warrant denied. The request fails to state facts sufficient to establish reasonable cause.
- Protective Custody warrant is granted and there is reasonable cause to believe the child should be placed into protective custody because:
 - The child requires medical care and there are no reasonable means by which the child can be protected without temporary removal from the physical custody of the parent/guardian.
 - The child is in danger of physical or sexual abuse and there are no reasonable means by which the child can be protected without temporary removal from the physical custody of the parent/guardian.
 - The child's physical environment poses a threat to the child's health or safety and there are no reasonable means by which the child can be protected without temporary removal from the physical custody of the parent/guardian.
 - The child is suffering severe emotional damage and there are no reasonable means by which the child can be protected without temporary removal from the custody of the parent/guardian.
- Good cause having been shown, pursuant to Penal Code §§ 840(4) and 1533, this warrant may be served and executed at any time of the day or night.

Medical Examination:

- Medical Examination denied. The request fails to state facts sufficient to establish reasonable cause.
- Medical Examination is granted:
 - There is reasonable cause to believe the child requires a medical exam by a licensed medical practitioner who has specialized training in diagnosing and treating child abuse and neglect in order to determine whether the child has been abused or neglected.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- Petitioner's agency and/or law enforcement are authorized to enter the child's home, and the child's parent, guardian or caregiver shall immediately permit CFS and/or law enforcement investigators to enter the child's home, see and speak with the child, to inspect the safety of the home, to determine whether child welfare services should be offered to the family and to determine whether juvenile court proceedings should be commenced.
- Continuance in the home is contrary to the child's welfare. Therefore, a protective custody warrant shall be issued for the child named above. Petitioner's agency and/or law enforcement are authorized to enter the child's home in order to serve the warrant.

13-6603

- Petitioner is authorized to obtain a medical exam for the child by a licensed medical practitioner who has specialized training in diagnosing and treating child abuse and neglect in order to determine whether the child has been abused or neglected. The exam shall be conducted immediately.
- Petitioner's agency and/or law enforcement are authorized to interview the child at the child's school, and the child's school shall immediately permit CFS and/or law enforcement investigators to enter the child's school and conduct the investigatory interview in order to determine whether child welfare services should be offered to the family and to determine whether juvenile court proceedings should be commenced.
- Petition is denied. The request fails to state facts sufficient to establish reasonable cause.

 _____

11/18/13 @1830

Date



Please Print Name of Judge of the Superior Court

Msg: 39225982 For: 1257

Time: 131118 22:23:10 ,*03539863,,BENNETT,CHARLES,EDWARD,TUS,

***** ORANGE COUNTY AUTOMATED WARRANT *****

* NAM..BENNETT CHARLES EDWARD KEY NO.. 00273664 *

* ADR..01422 S DOUGLAS ST CITY/ST..SANTA ANA CA *

* DOB..[REDACTED] SEX..M RACE..B AGE.. / HGT..507 WGT..160 HAIR..BRO EYES..BRO*

* OLN..[REDACTED] CA WPS..3731322200370 ODDITY.. DESC.. *

* SSN..[REDACTED] OCN.. CII..A06296364 FBI..508194T4 POB.. *

* WRNT FILE NO..03539863 COUNTY..ORAN COI..WOC WRNT TYPE..BW DEGREE..F *

* COURT WAR NO.13WF2218 TRACKING NO.2867206 CASE/CITE# *

* CHARGES 1. HS 11377(A) POSS DANGEROUS DRUGS *

* 2. HS 11364.1(A) POSS OF OPUIM *

* 3. VC 14601.1(A) SUSPENDED LICENSE *****

* * MESSAGE..NO **

* *****

* *

* *

* *

* JUDGE..[REDACTED] [REDACTED] RELEASE CONDITIONS..MAND NIGHT..YES*

* BAIL AMT. \$20000.00 ISSUE DATE.080513 WRNT REASON.BW/OTHER EXP.*

* PSA..OCS ORIG AGY.. ASSIGN DATE..081013 ASSIGNED TO..SAP *

* REVIEW DATE.. REASON..CID *

* SERVICE D/T..111813/2223 AGENCY..TUS BY..1208 DISPO..BOOK *

* >>USE THIS WARRANT TO ACCEPT BAIL OR TO BOOK IN AN ORANGE COUNTY JAIL<< *

* RETURN THIS WARRANT WITH THE BAIL OR THE SUBJECT TO THE COURT OF ISSUE *

Msg: 39225980 For: 1257

Time: 131118 22:22:57

,*,03539906,,BENNETT,CHARLES,EDWARD,TUS,

***** ORANGE COUNTY AUTOMATED WARRANT *****

* NAM..BENNETT CHARLES EDWARD KEY NO.. 00273664 *

* ADR..01422 S DOUGLAS ST CITY/ST..SANTA ANA CA *

* DOB.. ████████ SEX..M RACE..B AGE.. / HGT..607 WGT..172 HAIR..BLK EYES..BRO*

* OLN. ████████ CA WPS.. ODDITY.. DESC.. *

* SSN. ████████ OCN.. CII..A06296364 FBI..508194T4 POB.. *

* WRNT FILE NO..03539906 COUNTY..ORAN COI..WOC WRNT TYPE..BW DEGREE..M *

* COURT WAR NO.13CM03559 TRACKING NO.2867207 CASE/CITE# *

* CHARGES 1. VC 14601.1(A) SUSPENDED LICENSE *

* 2. VC 16028(A) EVID OF FINANCIAL RESP *

* 3. VC 40508(A) FAILURE TO APPEAR *****

* * MESSAGE..NO **

* *****

* * * * *

* JUDGE. ████████ ████████ RELEASE CONDITIONS..MAND NIGHT.. *

* BAIL AMT. \$2500.00 ISSUE DATE.080513 WRNT REASON.BW/OTHER EXP.*

* PSA..OCS ORIG AGY..SA ASSIGN DATE..081513 ASSIGNED TO..UMAIL *

* REVIEW DATE.. REASON..CWR MAILER - TM *

* SERVICE D/T..111813/2222 AGENCY..TUS BY..1208 DISPO..BOOK *

* >>USE THIS WARRANT TO ACCEPT BAIL OR TO BOOK IN AN ORANGE COUNTY JAIL<< *

* RETURN THIS WARRANT WITH THE BAIL OR THE SUBJECT TO THE COURT OF ISSUE *

Medical Temp. No: T186 1096

ORANGE COUNTY JAIL
Sante Ana, California

13-6603

- EXPEDITE BOOKING
- MEDICAL BOOKING
- NORMAL BOOKING
- PRE-BOOKING RECORD

FOR JAIL USE ONLY

PLEASE PRINT OR TYPE

| | | | | |
|---|---|--------------------------------------|--|----------|
| BOOKING NUMBER <u>2996 942</u> | RECEIVING OFFICER <u>283</u> | DATE <u>11.18.13/2333</u> | SUPPLEMENTAL WARRANTS | HOW MANY |
| <input type="checkbox"/> STREET BOOKING | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> WARRANT <u>Bu/F</u> | <input type="checkbox"/> COURT ORDER | <input type="checkbox"/> OTHER (SPECIFY) | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> COMMITMENT | | | | |

TO BE COMPLETED BY ARRESTING OR TRANSPORTING OFFICER
PLEASE FILL IN ALL OF THE BOXES BELOW THIS LINE PRIOR TO SUBMITTING TO THE RECEIVING GUARD STATION

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------------------------------|--|
| ARRESTING AGENCY <u>TUSTIN</u> | OC80 AREA OR CONTRACT CITY | AGENCY CASE <u>13-6603</u> | DATE & TIME ARRESTED <u>11-18-13 2209</u> |
| NAME: LAST <u>BENNETT</u> | FIRST <u>CHARLES</u> | MIDDLE <u>EDWARD</u> | |
| BIRTHDATE | SEX <u>M</u> | RACE <u>B</u> | HEIGHT <u>5-7</u> |
| | WEIGHT <u>170</u> | HAIR <u>BLK</u> | EYES <u>BW</u> |
| | STATE & COUNTRY OF BIRTH <u>MCARDLAND U.S.</u> | CITIZENSHIP <u>U.S.</u> | |
| AKA | DRIVER'S LICENSE NO. & STATE <u>CA</u> | | |

| | | |
|------------------------------------|---|-----------------------|
| JURISDICTION <u>EOC WJC</u> | WARRANT OR CASE NUMBER <u>13WF2218</u> | BAIL <u>20,000</u> |
| CHARGE 1 <u>11377 cal H+S</u> | CHARGE 4 | |
| CHARGE 2 <u>11364.1(w) 4+S</u> | CHARGE 5 | |
| CHARGE 3 <u>14601.1 cal evl</u> | CHARGE 6 | |

DNA

ONA COLLECTED BY OFFICER PREVIOUSLY COLLECTED NOT COLLECTED (EXPLAIN BELOW)

EXPLAIN WHY ONA WAS NOT COLLECTED
NON QUALIFYING ARREST

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| OCCUPATION <u>DISABLED</u> | MARITAL STATUS <u>S</u> | SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER | TELEPHONE NO. <u>714 979 8482</u> |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|--------------------------------------|

SCARS, MARKS, TATTOOS, AMPHS
NONE SCAR LF SIDE HEAD

| | | | |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|
| ADDRESS <u>14282 S. BEVERLY</u> | CITY <u>SANTO ANA</u> | STATE <u>CA</u> | ZIP <u>92704</u> |
|------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|---------------------|

| | | |
|-------------------|--------------|---------------|
| NEXT OF KIN: NAME | RELATIONSHIP | TELEPHONE NO. |
|-------------------|--------------|---------------|

| | | | |
|---------|------|-------|-----|
| ADDRESS | CITY | STATE | ZIP |
|---------|------|-------|-----|

| | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|
| ARRESTEE EMPLOYER NAME <u>NONE</u> | BUSINESS ADDRESS |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|

OFFICER'S ADDITIONAL INFORMATION-- CHECK BOX IF YOU BELIEVE THE ARRESTEE WILL REQUIRE MEDICAL ATTENTION OR SPECIAL MANAGEMENT.

MEDICAL (ILL OR INJURED) MENTAL INTOXICATED PROTECTIVE CUSTODY HIGH SECURITY

EXPLAIN

| | | |
|------------------------------------|------------------|---|
| ARRESTING OFFICER <u>ADLINK</u> | IO # <u>1208</u> | MANDATORY FOREIGN CONSULAR NOTIFICATION MADE PER CPC 834c <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> N/A |
|------------------------------------|------------------|---|

PERMISSION TO USE TELEPHONE AFTER ARREST (Pursuant to Penal code Section 851.5)
I have been given the opportunity to make three (3) FREE telephone calls within the LOCAL DIALING area, or at MY OWN EXPENSE IF OUTSIDE the Local dialing area. If I am a custodial parent with responsibility for a minor child, I have been given the opportunity to make two (2) additional FREE telephone calls within the local dialing area, or at MY OWN EXPENSE IF OUTSIDE the local dialing area, for the purpose of arranging for the care of my minor child(ren) in my absence.

Telephone calls DESIRED 3 RECORD OF TELEPHONE CALLS: Telephone calls COMPLETED 1

CUSTODIAL PARENT Telephone calls DESIRED CUSTODIAL PARENT Telephone calls COMPLETED

Location TUSTIN P.O. Date 11-18-13 Time 2238

Witnessing Officer ADLINK IO # 1208 Agency TUSTIN
SIGNATURE H/C

PROPERTY REPORT

PAGE

TUSTIN
POLICE DEPARTMENT

ORIG
 SUPP

1 CR# 13-6603 SUPPLEMENTAL
2 REFER OTHER REPORT#
NOV 27 2013

SOURCE CODE: *F-FINDER *O-OWNER *S-SUSPECT *V-VICTIM *W-WITNESS

| | | | |
|--------|---|--------|---|
| SOURCE | LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE (FIRM, IF BUSINESS) | SOURCE | LAST, FIRST, MIDDLE (FIRM, IF BUSINESS) |
| F | [REDACTED] | | |



| | | | | | | | |
|--|---|----------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|
| ITEM NO. | 3 TYPE E | 4 QTY 6 | 5 ARTICLE SYRINGES | 6 BRAND - | 7 MODEL - | 8 SERIAL NO./OAN - | 9 VALUE - |
| 10 COLOR(S) | 11 DESCRIPTION ORANGE / CLEAR PLASTIC SYRINGES IN CLEAR PLASTIC BAG. | | | | | | |
| GUN TYPE | <input type="checkbox"/> Pistol <input type="checkbox"/> Shotgun <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | CATEGORY | | <input type="checkbox"/> Auto <input type="checkbox"/> Pump <input type="checkbox"/> Revolver | | CALIBER: BARREL LENGTH: |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Rifle <input type="checkbox"/> Combo | | <input type="checkbox"/> Semi Auto | | <input type="checkbox"/> Over / Under <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | |
| 12 PREMISE / AREA / ROOM TAKEN FROM 15582 WILLIAMS AVE #4 | | 13 SOURCE F | | 14 CURRENT LOCATION #28 | | 15 ADDITIONAL NOTES / SIGNATURE FOR RELEASED ITEM | |

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|
| ITEM NO. | 16 TYPE | 17 QTY | 18 ARTICLE | 19 BRAND | 20 MODEL | 21 SERIAL NO./OAN | 22 VALUE |
| 23 COLOR(S) | | 24 DESCRIPTION | | | | | |
| GUN TYPE | <input type="checkbox"/> Pistol <input type="checkbox"/> Shotgun <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | CATEGORY | | <input type="checkbox"/> Auto <input type="checkbox"/> Pump <input type="checkbox"/> Revolver | | CALIBER: BARREL LENGTH: |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Rifle <input type="checkbox"/> Combo | | <input type="checkbox"/> Semi Auto | | <input type="checkbox"/> Over / Under <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | |
| 25 PREMISE / AREA / ROOM TAKEN FROM | | 26 SOURCE | | 27 CURRENT LOCATION | | 28 ADDITIONAL NOTES / SIGNATURE FOR RELEASED ITEM | |

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|
| ITEM NO. | 29 TYPE | 30 QTY | 31 ARTICLE | 32 BRAND | 33 MODEL | 34 SERIAL NO./OAN | 35 VALUE |
| 36 COLOR(S) | | 37 DESCRIPTION | | | | | |
| GUN TYPE | <input type="checkbox"/> Pistol <input type="checkbox"/> Shotgun <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | CATEGORY | | <input type="checkbox"/> Auto <input type="checkbox"/> Pump <input type="checkbox"/> Revolver | | CALIBER: BARREL LENGTH: |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Rifle <input type="checkbox"/> Combo | | <input type="checkbox"/> Semi Auto | | <input type="checkbox"/> Over / Under <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | |
| 38 PREMISE / AREA / ROOM TAKEN FROM | | 39 SOURCE | | 40 CURRENT LOCATION | | 41 ADDITIONAL NOTES / SIGNATURE FOR RELEASED ITEM | |

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|
| ITEM NO. | 42 TYPE | 43 QTY | 44 ARTICLE | 45 BRAND | 46 MODEL | 47 SERIAL NO./OAN | 48 VALUE |
| 49 COLOR(S) | | 50 DESCRIPTION | | | | | |
| GUN TYPE | <input type="checkbox"/> Pistol <input type="checkbox"/> Shotgun <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | CATEGORY | | <input type="checkbox"/> Auto <input type="checkbox"/> Pump <input type="checkbox"/> Revolver | | CALIBER: BARREL LENGTH: |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Rifle <input type="checkbox"/> Combo | | <input type="checkbox"/> Semi Auto | | <input type="checkbox"/> Over / Under <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | |
| 51 PREMISE / AREA / ROOM TAKEN FROM | | 52 SOURCE | | 53 CURRENT LOCATION | | 54 ADDITIONAL NOTES / SIGNATURE FOR RELEASED ITEM | |

| | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|---|----------------|------------------------------------|---------------------|---|---|-------------------------------|
| ITEM NO. | 55 TYPE | 56 QTY | 57 ARTICLE | 58 BRAND | 59 MODEL | 60 SERIAL NO./OAN | 61 VALUE |
| 62 COLOR(S) | | 63 DESCRIPTION | | | | | |
| GUN TYPE | <input type="checkbox"/> Pistol <input type="checkbox"/> Shotgun <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | CATEGORY | | <input type="checkbox"/> Auto <input type="checkbox"/> Pump <input type="checkbox"/> Revolver | | CALIBER: BARREL LENGTH: |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Rifle <input type="checkbox"/> Combo | | <input type="checkbox"/> Semi Auto | | <input type="checkbox"/> Over / Under <input type="checkbox"/> Other | | |
| 64 PREMISE / AREA / ROOM TAKEN FROM | | 65 SOURCE | | 66 CURRENT LOCATION | | 67 ADDITIONAL NOTES / SIGNATURE FOR RELEASED ITEM | |

COMMENTS

PROPERTY RELEASED BY APPOINTMENT ONLY: Please refer to the back of your property receipt for property release information and instructions.

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|-------|
| OFFICER'S NAME S. QUINN | ID NUMBER 1021 | DATE 11/26/13 | SIGNATURE FOR RECEIPT | <input type="checkbox"/> RECEIPT MAILED <input type="checkbox"/> REFUSED | PG OF |
|----------------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|-------|

Case No:

Involved citizen:

[REDACTED]

Linked address(s):

Home: 15582 Williams Street 4 Tustin CA 92780

Linked phone(s):

Cell: [REDACTED]

Charles Bennett

Linked address(s):

Home: 1422 S. Douglas Street Santa Ana CA 92780

Linked phone(s):

Cell: (714) 979-8482

Officers involved:

Police Officer Matthew Adling [1208]

Officer current info:

Bureau: Community Policing
Division: North Area Patrol
Unit: Patrol

Snapshot - officer information at time of incident:

Badge/ID no: 1208
Bureau: Community Policing
Division: North Area Patrol
Unit: Patrol
Squad: Squad Four
Rank/title: Police Officer
Age: Years of employment: Years with unit:
Off duty: No Off duty employed: No

Allegations:

340.3.5(dd) Performance - Giving False of Misleading Statements - - Sustained - Nov 18, 2013
340.3.5c Performance - Unsatisfactory Work Performance - GO 903 - (903.2) Searching Prisoners / Detainees - Sustained - Nov 18, 2013
340.3.5c Performance - Unsatisfactory Work Performance - GO 804 - (804.3) Property Handling - Sustained - Nov 18, 2013

Actions taken:

Feb 20, 2014 - Resign in Lieu of Termination Days/hrs suspended/assessed: - [Action/discipline completed]

Officer witnesses:

Police Officer Shonn M Rojas [1019]

Officer current info:

Bureau: Community Policing
Division: South Area Patrol
Unit: Patrol

Police Sergeant Sean Richard Quinn [1021]

Officer current info:

Bureau: Community Policing
Division: North Area Patrol
Unit: Patrol

Police Officer Charles R Mitchell [1096]

Officer current info:

Bureau: Community Policing
Division: North Area Patrol
Unit: Patrol

Police Officer Gentry Lee Mayfield [989]

Officer current info:

Bureau: Community Policing
Division: North Area Patrol
Unit: Patrol

Police Officer Glenn D Hollingshead [731]

Officer current info:

Bureau:
Division:
Unit:

Police Officer Royce Del Merari [1227]

Officer current info:

Bureau: Community Policing
Division: South Area Patrol
Unit: SED

Police Officer Timothy S Crouch [1225]

Officer current info:

Bureau: Community Policing
Division: South Area Patrol
Unit: Patrol

Summary:

Investigative tasks:

Due dt Done dt Type

Aug 22, 2013 Jun 5, 2014 30 Day Complainant Letter

Assigned To: Un-assigned

Automatically generated

Jul 28, 2013 Jun 5, 2014 Citizen Notification Letter

Assigned To: Un-assigned

Automatically generated

When/where:

Date/time occurred: Nov 18 2013

County: Orange County

Associated Case Nos:

13-22IPrior IA Number

Status/assignment information:

Status: Completed Priority: High

Opened: 11/21/2013 Assigned: 11/21/2013 Due: Completed: 02/20/2014

Disposition: Sustained

Unit assigned: Professional Standards
Handled at field/unit level: No
Investigator assign: Police Sergeant Andrew Birozy
Supervisor assign: Police Lieutenant Robert Wright
Source of information:

Organizational component(s):

Bureau: Community Policing
Division: North Area Patrol
Unit: Patrol
Squad: Squad Four

Entered by: Police Sergeant Andrew Birozy on Jun 05, 2014 at 17:15

ATTACHMENT 1



DATE: NOVEMBER 21, 2013

Inter-Com

TO: SERGEANT T. BULLOCK, NORTH AREA COMMANDER

FROM: SERGEANT S. QUINN, COMMUNITY POLICING BUREAU SERGEANT

SUBJECT: Officer Adling's disposition of arrestee's property

On 11-18-13 at 2131 hrs, Officer Adling responded to 15582 Williams Ave #4 reference an Agency Assist. A social services worker was at the location with a warrant to take [REDACTED] three year old daughter into protective custody. The Social Services worker was out earlier in the day to conduct a welfare check on the child and saw numerous syringes scattered about the house. The Social Services worker determined this was a hazardous environment for the child and obtained a court order to take her into protective custody.

While at the residence, Officer Adling requested assistance and I responded. We made contact with [REDACTED] and her daughter inside the residence. Also inside the residence was Charles Bennett, who was ultimately arrested for having an outstanding warrant. The child was taken into protective custody by the Social Services worker and Officer Adling placed Bennett under arrest for the warrant. Bennett was booked into OCJ.

On 11-19-13 at approximately 1545 hrs, I was changing in the locker room prior to my shift along with Officer Adling. Officer Adling told me he responded back to [REDACTED] apartment after booking Bennett to return his cell phone and wallet to [REDACTED]. I asked why he returned Bennett's property to [REDACTED] and Officer Adling said it was easier than booking it into OCJ. I found this procedure unusual and not typical of our booking procedures.

On 11-20-13 at approximately 2100 hrs, Sergeant Whiteley and I conducted an audit of the previous nights call and responded to [REDACTED] residence to speak to her regarding the incident. We spoke to [REDACTED] alone inside her apartment. I asked [REDACTED] if any officers contacted her after the incident and she said at approximately midnight on the night of the incident, she was on her balcony speaking to her friend, [REDACTED] "about her daughter being taken into protective custody. [REDACTED] saw Officer Adling walk up the stairs to her second story patio while pressing a button on his radio saying, "I'm out at the location." Officer Adling handed her a clear plastic baggy containing six new, capped syringes. Officer Adling said, "Property drop off. Good luck to you." He then turned around and walked down the stairs. He did not say anything else to [REDACTED] [REDACTED]

immediately recognized the syringes as Bennett's as he is a diabetic and carries syringes with him at all times.

█████ said she still had the syringes and walked to her kitchen and opened a cupboard. She removed a clear plastic baggy containing six capped syringes. She showed me the bag and said this was the item Officer Adling handed her when he returned to her residence. I collected the baggy and it's contents for safekeeping.

█████ said she found this unusual as she just had her daughter taken into protective custody for having syringes inside her house, and the police officer who assisted in removing her daughter was giving her syringes to keep for safekeeping. I asked █████ who is a recovering heroin addict, if having the syringes in her possession made her want to use heroin and she said no.

I asked █████ if Officer Adling acted unprofessional or had come back after that night and she said no. She did add that she found it unprofessional that an officer would give her someone else's property, especially in this circumstance. I asked █████ if Officer Adling gave her any other property, such as a cell phone or a wallet, and she said no. The syringes were the only thing Officer Adling gave to her.

I asked █████ if she had █████ address or phone number and she said she did not.

I responded back to TPD where I conducted a CAD audit on Officer Adling's activity. The CAD entries show Officer Adling did place himself back out at █████ residence at 2346 hours on a "property drop off" after booking Bennett at OCJ.

Officer Adling completed a report of the incident (CR #13-6603) and submitted it for review on 11-21-13. The report does not contain any reference to collecting syringes, nor any other property, from Bennett and returning them to █████ Neither the OCJ booking property sheet nor the TPD Arrestee Property Report form contain any reference to any property possessed by Bennett at the time of arrest or booking.



Inter-Com

DATE: DECEMBER 18, 2013
TO: OFFICER MATTHEW ADLING, PATROL DIVISION, NORTH AREA
FROM: LT. P. GARAVEN, INTERIM COMMUNITY POLICING BUREAU COMMANDER
SUBJECT: NOTICE OF DISCIPLINARY PROCEEDINGS AI #13-22-I

*C. CELANO
1-6-14*

The Chief of Police has delegated me the authority to notify you of the department initiating disciplinary proceedings against you. Disciplinary proceedings are defined as allegations that, if validated, will likely result in negative disciplinary action of a written reprimand, suspension without pay, demotion in rank, punitive transfer, reduction in salary, or termination.

FORMAL CHARGES

Based on my review of the investigation, my findings regarding the alleged violations to the Tustin Police Department General Orders are as follows:

Violation of Tustin Police Department General Orders, Section 340 – Disciplinary Policy:

340.3.5 PERFORMANCE

“(dd.) Giving false or misleading statements, or misrepresenting or omitting material information to a supervisor, or other person in a position of authority, in connection with any investigation or in the reporting of any Department-related business.”

Finding: **SUSTAINED**

Violation of Tustin Police Department General Orders, Section 340 Disciplinary Policy:

“340.3.5 PERFORMANCE

c) Unsatisfactory work performance including but not limited to, failure, incompetence, inefficiency or delay in performing and/or carrying out proper orders, work assignments or instructions of supervisors without a reasonable and bona fide excuse.”

”m) Any knowing or negligent violation of the provisions of the Department manual, operating procedures or other written directive of an authorized supervisor. The Department shall make this manual available to all

employees. Employees shall familiarize themselves with and be responsible for compliance with each of the policies contained herein."

As it pertains to:

903.2 SEARCHING PRISONERS / DETAINEES

"Officers who arrest or detain individuals must control the situation with the tools provided within the law. Officers must stay well versed on the current status of the search of persons and act within the law.

- a) Prior to detaining or transporting an individual in a police vehicle, officers shall conduct an appropriate search of that individual for contraband or weapons (Policy Manual 902.3 Pat-Down Search);
- b) Each time a prisoner comes into the transporting officer's custody, including transfers from one patrol unit to another, the receiving transport officer must search the prisoner."

Finding: **SUSTAINED**

Violation of Tustin Police Department General Orders, Section 340 Disciplinary Policy:

"340.3.5 PERFORMANCE

- c) Unsatisfactory work performance including but not limited to, failure, incompetence, inefficiency or delay in performing and/or carrying out proper orders, work assignments or instructions of supervisors without a reasonable and bona fide excuse."
- m) "Any knowing or negligent violation of the provisions of the Department manual, operating procedures or other written directive of an authorized supervisor. The Department shall make this manual available to all employees. Employees shall familiarize themselves with and be responsible for compliance with each of the policies contained herein."

As it pertains to:

"804.3 PROPERTY HANDLING

Any employee who first comes into possession of any property, shall retain such property in his/her possession until it is properly tagged and placed in the designated property booking locker (outside of Property and

Evidence Unit and available 24 hours a day); or other approved storage area along with the property form. Care shall be taken to maintain the chain of custody for all evidence. Ownership of all property/evidence should be established by the handling officer whenever possible to ensure the proper return of said items upon the disposition of the case.

Where ownership can be established as to found property with no apparent evidentiary value, such property may be released to the owner without the need for booking. The property form must be completed to document the release of property not booked and the owner shall sign the form acknowledging receipt of the item(s)."

Finding: SUSTAINED

On 111813, you assisted Orange County Social Services with taking a child into protective custody from [REDACTED] residence due to unsatisfactory living conditions. One reason for the removal of the child was because syringes were found inside the residence by SSW [REDACTED] earlier in the day. The presence of these syringes posed a potential danger to the child and a court order was obtained by SSW [REDACTED] to have the child taken into protective custody.

While assisting SSW [REDACTED] in the apartment, a male subject named Charles Bennett was present and later arrested for outstanding warrants. You handcuffed Bennett and walked him outside to his patrol car, subsequently transporting him to Orange County Jail. Once at the jail, OCSD Deputies discovered Bennett was in possession of several hypodermic needles for a legitimate medical condition. This occurred because you failed to properly search Bennett prior to transporting/booking him in violation of established policy (**General Order 903.2**).

After leaving Orange County Jail, you returned to [REDACTED] residence and returned the syringes to her. Clearly, the property belonged to Bennett yet you chose to return a prisoner's property to a third party without their approval, rather than booking the needles pursuant to established policy (**General Order 804.3**).

On 111913, you and Sgt. Quinn were in the men's locker room preparing for the upcoming shift and began a conversation. During the conversation, you told Sgt. Quinn you had returned Bennett's cell phone and wallet to [REDACTED] residence but excluded any details about the needles. Your justification for returning the cell phone and wallet was that it was easier than booking them.

As a result of Sgt. Quinn returning to [REDACTED] residence and talking to her about the property return, he discovered that you did not return a cell phone and wallet and in fact, had returned several hypodermic needles, resulting in this administrative investigation

As established in Sgt. Birozy's investigation, based on witness statements and your own admission, it is apparent there was never a cell phone or wallet returned to [REDACTED] nor that either were associated with Bennett's arrest. What is apparent is that you fabricated the story about the cell phone and wallet to cover up the fact you had failed to search Bennett properly, and feared disciplinary action if this information was discovered.

In doing so, you provided false and misleading statements, misrepresenting material information to a supervisor in violation of department policy (**General Order 340.3.5 (dd)**).

As a result of this investigation, I believe you violated three separate department policies; failure to search a prisoner properly, mishandling of prisoner property and most seriously, providing false and misleading statements to a supervisor. While collectively they serve as the basis for the recommendation, the most serious of the offenses is providing false information to a supervisor. Honesty is a core job requirement when it comes to public service and most significantly for a police officer who is required to, among other things, testify credibly in court. By your own admission, you were dishonest with Sgt. Quinn as it relates to the return of Bennett's property. As such, your ability to perform as a police officer has been compromised, resulting in my recommendation which is listed below.

RECOMMENDATION:

All written material pertaining to this matter, as well as contents of your personnel file and division file, has been reviewed. My decision is that sufficient grounds exist for the department to proceed with disciplinary charges against you, according to the disciplinary policy described in General Order 340, specifically section 340.3.5 (c), (dd) and (m). My recommendation is that you be **terminated from employment with the Tustin Police Department**.

RESPONSE:

You have the right to respond, verbally and/or in writing, to these charges and my recommendation that you be terminated. If you choose to respond verbally, you may do so at the date and time stated below for the disciplinary meeting. Please confirm with me, or Executive Secretary, Shannon Aitken, no less than twenty-four hours prior to the meeting, whether or not you will be responding verbally at the disciplinary meeting. If you choose to respond in writing, either in lieu of or in addition to a verbal response, please submit your written response no less than twenty-four hours prior to the meeting.

Your failure to make a verbal or written response as set forth above will constitute a waiver of your right to respond to these charges and proposed termination.

DISCIPLINARY MEETING:

Chief Celano will conduct a disciplinary meeting. He will meet you in his office at January 9, 2014 hours on 1300 hours. You have the right to be represented by counsel or another person of your choosing during the disciplinary meeting.

You may waive your right to a disciplinary meeting by so stating on this memo and returning it to me. Your waiver of the disciplinary meeting signifies your acceptance of the charges and disciplinary action. You may also submit a written response with your waiver, if you desire.

DUTY STATUS:

You are to remain on administrative leave pending the outcome of these proceedings.


Paul Garaven, Lieutenant
Interim Community Policing Bureau Commander

Attachments:

1. Memorandum from Sgt. Quinn to Sgt. Bullock
2. TPD CR#13-6603
3. TPD CAD print-out of call for service
4. Two interviews with Bennett (one in person and one via telephone)
5. Two interviews with [REDACTED] (both via telephone)
6. Administrative Investigation Interrogation Memorandum
7. Relieved of standard duty admonition and receipt
8. OCJ video surveillance
9. Interviews with Sgt. Quinn, Officers Mayfield, Mitchell, Rojas, Hollingshead, Gleason, Crouch and SSW [REDACTED]
10. Interview with Officer Adling
11. Interview with Officer DelMerari

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I acknowledge receipt of this **Notice of Disciplinary Proceedings**



Officer Matthew Adling

12-30-2013
Date



Inter-Com

DATE: FEBRUARY 20, 2014

TO: MATTHEW ADLING, PATROL OFFICER – NORTH AREA

FROM: CHARLES F CELANO, JR., CHIEF OF POLICE *CC*
3-5-14

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF DISCIPLINE – TERMINATION

On December 18, 2013, you were served with a Notice of Disciplinary Proceedings, authored by Lieutenant Paul Garaven, based on allegations of false and misleading statements given to a supervisor. In the Skelly notice, a pre-disciplinary meeting was scheduled with me. At approximately 1400 hours on January 22, 2014, I provided you with the opportunity to respond to the charges and recommended discipline as outlined in the Skelly notice. You attended the meeting with your representative, Mr. Howard Lieberman.

During the meeting, you indicated your belief that the recommended discipline of termination was excessive in light of the sustained conduct and you asked for consideration of a possible reduction in discipline. I indicated I would consider your request as I had 30 days before rendering my decision.

Prior to making my final decision, I was informed that you resigned from employment with the City of Tustin as of February 20, 2014. Therefore, it is not necessary for me to determine a final cause of action in this matter. This memo will be filed, along with the investigation in the appropriate personnel and AI files. No further action required.

CHARLES F CELANO, JR.
Chief of Police

Cc Personnel File
Professional Standards File
Derick Yasuda

Acknowledgement

I acknowledge receipt of this Notice of Suspension.

Not obtained (Resigned)

Employee

Date

ATTACHMENT 7

Tustin Police Department

RELIEVED OF STANDARD DUTY ADMONITION AND RECEIPT (SWORN PERSONNEL)


Upon being relieved of regularly assigned standard duty at 1700 hours on November 21, 2013, Officer Matthew Adling, Badge No. 1208, assigned to Patrol – North Area Command, has been instructed and cautioned that action in the capacity of a Tustin Police Officer beyond the limited duties specifically assigned, along with the carrying of a concealed firearm, is prohibited until reinstatement to standard duty status.

Your place of assignment is your residence. Your duty hours are Monday, Tuesday and Wednesday 0600 – 1830 hours. At no time during duty hours are you to be more than a two-hour response time from the police station. If your assignment is to a location other than the Police Department, you are notified that you are not to be in the station unless ordered to be there. You shall remain at your assigned duty station and shall not leave unless you notify the Department via telephone and receive authorization from: Sgt. Birozy.

You are hereby ordered not to contact or talk to any witnesses or other officers who may have knowledge of the incident under investigation.

Officer Matthew Adling has surrendered the following:

- | | |
|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Uniform Badge No. _____ | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Department Weapon Serial No. _____ |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Flat Badge No. _____ | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Identification Card |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Keys | <input type="checkbox"/> _____ |

Sergeant Andrew Birozy #914 
Division Commander/Designated Representative

Acknowledged:


Officer Matthew Adling #1208